

# 2024-04-24 TSWG APAC Meeting Notes

## Meeting Date

- 24 Apr 2024 The TSWG holds a **plenary meeting** once every four weeks on **Tuesdays**. The 2024 meeting dates are: 23 Jan, 20 Feb, 19 Mar, 16 Apr, 14 May, 11 June, 9 July, 6 Aug, 3 Sept, 1 Oct, 29 Oct, and 26 Nov.
- The meeting is at **08:00-09:00 PT / 15:00-16:00 UTC**.
- The TSWG holds an APAC meeting every **Wednesday at 18:00-19:00 PT / 01:00-02:00 UTC**. This meeting is a consolidated report-out and discussion across all TSWG Task Forces.
- See the [ToIP Calendar](#) for all meeting dates, times and logistics, including Zoom links.

## Zoom Meeting Link / Recording

- NAEU Plenary Meeting (once every four weeks): <https://zoom.us/j/99489737636?pwd=eTN1OHZZTEZKVityVIFmMThOSnlZdz09>
- APAC Meeting (every week): <https://zoom.us/j/96772881287?pwd=bzZUNXRhVUNzVjR2Z3B2cVVxc2ZUZz09>

(These links will be replaced with a link to the recording of the meetings as soon as they are available.)

## Attendees

- [Darrell O'Donnell](#)
- [Drummond Reed](#)
- [Kevin Griffin](#)
- [Wenjing Chu](#)
- [Jo Spencer](#)
- [Judith Fleenor](#)
- [sankarshan](#)
- ...

## Agenda Items and Notes (including all relevant links)

Time	Agenda Item	Lead	Notes
3 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Start recording</li><li>• Welcome &amp; antitrust notice</li><li>• Introduction of new members</li><li>• Agenda review</li></ul>	Chair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Antitrust Policy Notice:</b> <i>Attendees are reminded to adhere to the meeting agenda and not participate in activities prohibited under antitrust and competition laws. Only members of ToIP who have signed the necessary agreements are permitted to participate in this activity beyond an observer role.</i></li><li>• New Members:</li></ul>
10 min	General announcements	All	Updates from TSWG members of general interest to the group.

20 min	Task Force Reports	TF Leads	<p><a href="#">Technology Architecture TF</a> — <a href="#">Wenjing Chu</a> <a href="#">Drummond Reed</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Work on this was delayed until after IIW. <a href="#">Drummond Reed</a> is going to be back on the job of converting it to Spec-Up and publishing Public Review Draft 2 before EIC.</li> </ul> <p><a href="#">Trust Registry TF</a> — <a href="#">Andor Kesselman</a> <a href="#">Antti Kettunen</a> <a href="#">Darrell O'Donnell</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No direct feedback at the moment—some conversations with Animo.</li> <li>Judith asked why an API-based registry vs. a downloaded file such as specified by the DIF Trust Establishment specification. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Darrell O'Donnell</a> explained that the API model is more flexible and can handle more use cases, including non-credential based use cases.</li> <li>The API model also enables existing registries to expose the registry data that they already have in a standard way.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Judith also asked about how the OpenID Federation compared with the TRP? Darrell confirmed that an OpenID federation should also be able to expose their data via the TRP.</li> <li>Judith also asked about the Open Identity Exchange proposal for a "policy resolver" that could read and resolve what needs to be done to apply policies from one ecosystem to another. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This could apply to producing derived credentials.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Jo asked the question about whether the TRP will be implemented as a trust task protocol that runs over the TSP. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We discussed the various reasons it might be helpful to have a TSP relationship with a trust registry: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For strong security.</li> <li>For privileged access.</li> <li>For privacy-protected access.</li> <li>For a persistent connection, such as for push updates.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><a href="#">Trust Spanning Protocol TF</a> — <a href="#">Drummond Reed</a> <a href="#">Wenjing Chu</a> <a href="#">Samuel Smith</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See <a href="#">the TSPTF meeting notes</a>.</li> </ul> <p><a href="#">ACDC TF</a> — <a href="#">Samuel Smith</a> <a href="#">Phil Fearheller</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sam's presentation on CESR <a href="https://github.com/SmithSamuelM/Papers/blob/master/presentations/CESR_20_IIW2024A.pdf">https://github.com/SmithSamuelM/Papers/blob/master/presentations/CESR_20_IIW2024A.pdf</a></li> </ul> <p><a href="#">AI &amp; Metaverse (AIM) Technology TF</a> — <a href="#">Wenjing Chu</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wenjing shared that they are proceeding nicely with their Authenticity for AI workstream.</li> </ul> <p><a href="#">Credential Exchange Protocols TF</a> — <a href="#">Mathieu Glaude</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-</li> </ul> <p><a href="#">did:webs Task Force</a> — <a href="#">Lance Byrd</a> <a href="#">Phil Fearheller</a> <a href="#">Markus Sabadello</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-</li> </ul> <p><a href="#">X.509 VID Task Force</a> — <a href="#">Eric Scouten</a> <a href="#">Wenjing Chu</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Eric, Drummond, and <a href="#">Scott Perry</a> held a session to discuss the technical and business case for CAs adding a DID or VID to the Subject Alternative Name field of an X.509 certificate. There was a high degree of interest in "building that bridge"—including from one CA attending (Lori Groth of Digicert - <a href="https://www.linkedin.com/in/loriegroth/">https://www.linkedin.com/in/loriegroth/</a>).</li> </ul>
10 min	Special topic #1		
10 min	Special topic #2		
5 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review decisions /action items</li> <li>Planning for next meeting</li> </ul>	Chairs	

## Decisions

☐ None

## Action Items

☐ None

