# 2024-03-07 TRTF Meeting Notes

## **Meeting Date**

- 05 Jan 2023 The ToIP Trust Registry Task Force (TRTF) meets weekly twice every Thursday at the following times (to cover global time zones see the Calendar of ToIP Meetings for full meeting info including Zoom links):
  - NA/EU 07:00-8:00 PT / 15:00-16:00 UTC
  - o APAC 18:00-19:00 PT / 02:00-03:00 UTC

### Zoom Meeting Link / Recording

- NA/EU MEETING: https://zoom.us/j/99576264894?pwd=L0RQOXZtci83elJqY1o1dlZaTUx5Zz09
- APAC see: Joint TSTF+TRTF+X5VTF meeting in the TSPTF Meeting Page area

### **Attendees**

#### NA/EU Meeting

- Darrell O'Donnell co-lead
- Antti Kettunen co-lead
- Andor Kesselman co-lead
- Drummond Reed
- Scott Perry
- Tim Bouma
- @Subhasis Ojha
- Antti Kettunen
- Marcus Ubani
- Drummond Reed

### Agenda Items and Notes (including all relevant links)

Ti me	Agenda Item	Lead	Notes
5 m in	Start rec ording We lco me & a ntitr ust noti ce Introduction of ne w me mb ers Ag end a review	Chairs	<ul> <li>Antitrust Policy Notice: Attendees are reminded to adhere to the meeting agenda and not participate in activities prohibited under antitrust and competition laws. Only members of ToIP who have signed the necessary agreements are permitted to participate in this activity beyond an observer role.</li> <li>New Members:</li> </ul>
1 m in	Reminde r - TSWG2 Mailing List	Ch airs	Reminder that the Technology Stack Working Group has a new mailing list. You need to join this as it involves the new charter that TSWG is operating under. You can do that here:  • https://lists.trustoverip.org/g/technology-stack-wg-2  Scroll to the Bottom Click Green Button to log-in to the mailing list Then Click Blue Button to +Join the list

5 m in	Review of previous action items	Ch airs	
2 m in	EasyCLA	Dar rell O'D onn ell	EasyCLA was recently turned on ToIP wide by the LF. Follow the EasyCLA process to get it configured.  It is a simple control that ensures everyone is aware of the IPR licenses used by ToIP. We had some inconsistent application of it.  EasyCLA onboarding
5 m ins	VID or DID?	Dar rell O'D onn ell	I shifted over a few months ago to use VID instead of DID. We're using VID as a general term more and more (Tech Architecture Spec, Trust Spanning Protocol).  But, when we get down to brass tacks, we have a call that returns the list of "VID Methods" which are really "DID Methods". As an example, the OAS has a call for '/lookup/vidmethods' that will really return DID Methods. DIDs are (mostly) VIDs so it works and allows extension.  Is this forward-looking and OK, or is it creating a non-normative problem? I don't see urgency as this can be in the Implementor Draft but it is something to think about.
5 m ins	Help Request ed	Dar rell O'D onn ell	We have a goal of getting to Implementer Review this month. Editorial, OpenAPI/Swagger, requirements, and other assistance are needed.
5 m ins	ICANN79	Dar rell O'D onn ell	We presented at ICANN79 yesterday: Jacques Latour led the charge. Eric Scouten presented C2PA/CAI. Mathieu Glaude presented and demoed trust registry capability. I was fortunate enough to connect the dots between the pieces and explain how authenticity and provenance can help rebuild trust in the internet.  https://icann.zoom.us/rec/play/WT_3H1hiqsNjhETwphfZ0XotRoJ1NwMBdGCdsxVcgoUvucGFZ3HrqujbrC33k3DhUa_10Kzunifs13gUIV0p-0ENqQOH?canPlayFromShare=true&from=share_recording_detail&startTime=1709745304000&componentName=rec-play&originRequestUrl=https%3A%2F%2Ficann.zoom.us%2Frec%2Fshare% 2FtgQRWJcqsyp0QoTP_oZ3rq5mgqwPCiaWP2BVtGA5k4tOrZPcVZDOHeYx5NMnTQgh.qXXceOvRIMqBxhd0%3FstartTime%3D1709745304000
5 m ins	forks & PRs	Dar rell O'D onn ell	Darrell is shifting away from direct to main push and forking and will use PRs going ahead.
5 m ins	Re vie w dec isio ns /act ion ite ms Pla nni ng for nex t me etin g	Chairs	

## Screenshots/Diagrams (numbered for reference in notes above)

#1

### **Decisions**

• Sample Decision Item

## **Action Items**

Sample Action Item