2021-07-20 Meeting Page

20, July 2021 — 11:00 PT, 14:00 ET, 18:00 UTC, 20:00 CET

Send an email to utility-foundry-wg@lists.trustoverip.org to request a calendar invite (you can subscribe to the mailing list at lists.trustoverip.org).

RECORDING HERE (North America / Europe)

Attendees

- Andre Kudra
- Mathieu Glaude
- Lynn Bendixsen
- Jessica Townsend
- sankarshan
- Noah Lincoff (Accenture)
- Susanne Somerville (MediLedger)

Goals

- · Assign action items for next meeting
- Establish work plan for WG deliverables and workflow guidance
- Gather agenda suggestions for next meeting

Antitrust Policy

Antitrust Policy & Member Participation

- Attendees are reminded to adhere to the meeting agenda and not participate in activities prohibited under antitrust and competition laws.*
- Only members of Trust over IP who have signed the necessary agreements and charters are permitted to participate in this activity beyond an observer role.

THE LINUX FOUNDATION

Agenda Items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
1 min	Welcome	A. Kudra	
2 mins	Antitrust Policy Notice	A. Kudra	
5 mins	Announcements / New Member Intros	Chairs	
20 mins	MediLedger Introduction	Susanne Somerville	

^{*} Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at Linux Foundation meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy.

5 mins	Task Force SOS (Scrum of Scrums)	Task Force Leads	Status update or preferably Show-and-tell from all task forces.
5 mins	Decision Points	A. Kudra	
5 mins	Discussion Topics	A. Kudra	
10 mins	Q&A	A. Kudra	

Announcements

New Member Intro				
Guests	s Susanne Somerville and Noah Lincoff			
Public Identity Utility Projects				
Bedroo	sk			
i	 Case study presentation via Jim St.Clair coming up 			
IDunio	n			
	 Andre Kudra to organize a use case session with IDunion partners 			
Sovrin				
i	■ BAU			
Indicio				
	• •			
Canac	red			
	ı .			

Invitation by Karl Kneis to all L1Us to provide an update to EFWG on their status and journey (recently done by IDunion and Indicio).

Task Force SOS (Scrum of Scrums)

TF Name	Mission	Members	Status
Utility Project Implementation Guide Task Force	Create the getting started guide for prospective Utility Projects.	Lynn Bendixsen (lead) Dan Gisolfi Dave McKay	IG0029: Utility Project Guide A new repo has been created and the TF has issued its first objective. Lynn seeks assistance on repo publishing with Mac. TF is discussing outline and questions to be answered for prospective projects. Draft Doc: https://docs.google.com/document/d /1zysi79y5vxNBxhL7yI0M6FAViC_E2VUutUfT5Eac7CA/edit? usp=sharing Initial released version: https://trustoverip.github.io/IG0029-utility-project-guide/
Best Practices Task Force	Analyze Project Interviews, establish list of Best Practices and submit each BP using ToIP Deliverables Process.	Mathieu Glaude (lea d) Dan Gisolfi Claudia Grytz Lynn Bendixsen @Sarah Olfert Dave McKay	Drafting framework for evaluating public identity utilities (B P0014) (v2) Drafting v1 of three BP documents (based on feedback from interviews with decentralized PIU conveners /providers)* BP0015 - Assessing Sustainability of a PIU (v1) BP0016 - Determining Affordability of a PIU (v1) BP0017 - Assessing Alignment to a PIU Backlog Dashboard Health / Network Monitoring (Example) *At future point, consider consolidating all best practices into one comprehensive document SUGGESTION: Interlocking with Saturn-V TF as a first user of these Best Practices.

Force	ralization ry Task F		Submit a BP deliverable and a design principle for what it means to be Decentralized. Generate a UFWG Glossary in collaboration with the CTWG.	Jessica Townsend (I ead) Dan Gisolfi Mathieu Glaude	W Si Di	P0005: Decentralization by Design that are the key attributes of a Decentralized Utility? nould we consider / review the W3C DID ecentralization Rubric Consider their dimensions for evaluation Spectrum of Decentralization orking docs: Decentralized Network Best Practices and D eentralized Network Design Principles adding CTWG process. Submissions under review.		
D			o i we.	Cau				
Deci	sion P	'oints						
	Add res	ponsible	contact point in Utility directory in github					
D .		1.						
Disci	ussior	ı item	S					
 □ UFWG is working on comprehensive guidance doc □ Combined UFWG document has been worked on by Jessica Townsend and Mathieu Glaude 					Glaude			
			rudies have been added	ownsend and	Matrica	Ciadac		
	Broader public review for doc coming up soon							
	☐ Include governance aspects and non-Indy ledger utilities							
	RJ Reiser will add case study to UFWG doc (update from Lynn Bendixsen)							
	 New representative of Bedrock for UFWG required Case study presentation via Jim St.Clair coming up 							
	KERI wi	itness log	3					
			interest of existing L1Us to act as KERI witness log?					
			AcKay KERI log would be a good addition					
			n Curran Hyperledger Indy ledger could be acting as a KERI witness - i.e. "witness transactions"					
_			n 4-8 weeks and have a dedicated slot in UFWG meeting CKay Using KERI witness logs for privacy check (track consent, terms and conditions), could be Aries RFCs					
	LALIE SE			oonoon, torri	o and oc	Talionof, could be fulled in Go		
	L1Us im	•	ing trust registries Dave McKay with Hyperledger Besu ongoing					
		Dave M	cKay report on related research work at UFWG meeting	g in August 20	21, invit	e EFWG to discussion / follow-up @dav		
_	•.							
Oper	n Item	S						
Low pri	ority items	s that fail	ed gain volunteers to champion cause.					
	UFWG:	Sandbox	Utility					
		The me	embers of the UFWG need to gain experience before wr	riting guideline	s and b	est practices.		
			nge: Create a Sample Utility comprised of 3-5 nodes for	•		•		
		Goal: L	everage this research exercise as a way to create BAF	artifacts for Hy	/perledg	er Indy		

☐ Tools: Bootstrapping Node Hosting - Learn BAF