

2021-07-20 Meeting Page

20, July 2021 — 11:00 PT, 14:00 ET, 18:00 UTC, 20:00 CET

Send an email to utility-foundry-wg@lists.trustoverip.org to request a calendar invite (you can subscribe to the mailing list at lists.trustoverip.org).

RECORDING HERE (North America / Europe)

Attendees

- [Andre Kudra](#)
- [Mathieu Glaude](#)
- [Lynn Bendixsen](#)
- [Jessica Townsend](#)
- [sankarshan](#)
- Noah Lincoff (Accenture)
- Susanne Somerville (MediLedger)

Goals

- Assign action items for next meeting
- Establish work plan for WG deliverables and workflow guidance
- Gather agenda suggestions for next meeting

Antitrust Policy

Antitrust Policy & Member Participation

- › Attendees are reminded to adhere to the meeting agenda and not participate in activities prohibited under antitrust and competition laws.*
- › Only members of Trust over IP who have signed the necessary agreements and charters are permitted to participate in this activity beyond an observer role.

* Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at Linux Foundation meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at <http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>.



Agenda Items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
1 min	Welcome	A. Kudra	
2 mins	Antitrust Policy Notice	A. Kudra	
5 mins	Announcements / New Member Intros	Chairs	
20 mins	MediLedger Introduction	Susanne Somerville	

5 mins	Task Force SOS (Scrum of Scrums)	Task Force Leads	<i>Status update or preferably Show-and-tell from all task forces.</i>
5 mins	Decision Points	A. Kudra	
5 mins	Discussion Topics	A. Kudra	
10 mins	Q&A	A. Kudra	

Announcements

- ☐ New Member Intro
 - ☐ Guests Susanne Somerville and Noah Lincoff
- ☐ Public Identity Utility Projects
 - ☐ Bedrock
 - Case study presentation via [Jim St.Clair](#) coming up
 - ☐ IDunion
 - [Andre Kudra](#) to organize a use case session with IDunion partners
 - ☐ Sovrin
 - BAU
 - ☐ Indicio
 - -
 - ☐ Canacred
 - -
- ☐ Invitation by [Karl Kneis](#) to all L1Us to provide an update to EFWG on their status and journey (recently done by IDunion and Indicio).

Task Force SOS (Scrum of Scrums)

TF Name	Mission	Members	Status
Utility Project Implementation Guide Task Force	Create the getting started guide for prospective Utility Projects.	Lynn Bendixsen (lead) Dan Gisolfi Dave McKay	IG0029: Utility Project Guide A new repo has been created and the TF has issued its first objective. Lynn seeks assistance on repo publishing with Mac. TF is discussing outline and questions to be answered for prospective projects. Draft Doc: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1zysi79y5vxNBxhL7yI0M6FAViC_E2VUutUfT5Eac7CA/edit?usp=sharing Initial released version: https://trustoverip.github.io/IG0029-utility-project-guide/
Best Practices Task Force	Analyze Project Interviews, establish list of Best Practices and submit each BP using ToIP Deliverables Process.	Mathieu Glaude (lead) Dan Gisolfi Claudia Grytz Lynn Bendixsen @Sarah Olfert Dave McKay	☐ Drafting framework for evaluating public identity utilities (BP0014) (v2) ☐ Drafting v1 of three BP documents (based on feedback from interviews with decentralized PIU conveners /providers)* ☐ BP0015 - Assessing Sustainability of a PIU (v1) ☐ BP0016 - Determining Affordability of a PIU (v1) ☐ BP0017 - Assessing Alignment to a PIU ☐ Backlog ☐ Dashboard Health / Network Monitoring (Example) *At future point, consider consolidating all best practices into one comprehensive document SUGGESTION: Interlocking with Saturn-V TF as a first user of these Best Practices.

Decentralization Task Force	Submit a BP deliverable and a design principle for what it means to be Decentralized.	Jessica Townsend (lead) Dan Gisolfi Mathieu Glaude	☐ DP0005: Decentralization by Design ☐ What are the key attributes of a Decentralized Utility? ☐ Should we consider / review the W3C DID Decentralization Rubric ☐ Consider their dimensions for evaluation ☐ Spectrum of Decentralization ☐ Working docs: Decentralized Network Best Practices and Decentralized Network Design Principles
Glossary Task Force	Generate a UFWG Glossary in collaboration with the CTWG.	RJ Reiser (lead)	Still pending CTWG process. Submissions under review.

Decision Points

- ☐ Add responsible contact point in Utility directory in github

Discussion Items

- ☐ UFWG is working on comprehensive guidance doc
 - ☐ [Combined UFWG document](#) has been worked on by [Jessica Townsend](#) and [Mathieu Glaude](#)
 - ☐ Case studies have been added
 - ☐ Broader public review for doc coming up soon
 - ☐ Include governance aspects and non-Indy ledger utilities
 - ☐ [RJ Reiser](#) will add case study to UFWG doc (update from [Lynn Bendixsen](#))
- ☐ New representative of Bedrock for UFWG required
 - ☐ Case study presentation via [Jim St.Clair](#) coming up
- ☐ KERI witness log
 - ☐ Is there interest of existing L1Us to act as KERI witness log?
 - ☐ [Dave McKay](#) KERI log would be a good addition
 - ☐ [Stephen Curran](#) Hyperledger Indy ledger could be acting as a KERI witness - i.e. "witness transactions"
 - ☐ Revisit in 4-8 weeks and have a dedicated slot in UFWG meeting
 - ☐ [Dave McKay](#) Using KERI witness logs for privacy check (track consent, terms and conditions), could be Aries RFCs
- ☐ L1Us implementing trust registries [Dave McKay](#)
 - ☐ Testing with Hyperledger Besu ongoing
 - ☐ [Dave McKay](#) report on related research work at UFWG meeting in August 2021, invite EFWG to discussion / follow-up @dav

Open Items

Low priority items that failed gain volunteers to champion cause.

- ☐ UFWG Sandbox Utility
 - ☐ The members of the UFWG need to gain experience before writing guidelines and best practices.
 - ☐ **Challenge:** Create a Sample Utility comprised of 3-5 nodes for testing purposes using the [Blockchain Automation Framework](#) (BAF)
 - ☐ **Goal:** Leverage this research exercise as a way to create BAF artifacts for Hyperledger Indy
 - ☐ Tools: Bootstrapping Node Hosting - [Learn BAF](#)