

# 2021-06-17 TRTF Meeting Notes

## Meeting Date

- 17 Jun 2021

## Attendees

- [Drummond Reed](#)
- [Darrell O'Donnell](#) (virtually!)
- [Lucy Yang](#)
- [Kaliya Young](#)
- [John Walker](#)
- [Daniel Bachenheimer](#)
- [Ken Adler](#)
- [sankarshan](#)
- [Savita Farooqui](#)
- [Vitor Pamplona](#)

## Antitrust Policy & Member Participation

- › Attendees are reminded to adhere to the meeting agenda and not participate in activities prohibited under antitrust and competition laws.\*
- › Only members of Trust over IP who have signed the necessary agreements and charters are permitted to participate in this activity beyond an observer role.

\* Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at Linux Foundation meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at <http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>.



## Main Goal of this Meeting:

Introduce this new Task Force, introduce members, establish a shared understanding of the mission and timeline, and agree on a meeting schedule.

## Agenda

Time	Item	Lead	Notes
5 min	Start recording Welcome & Antitrust Policy Notice Agenda review	Chairs	
5 min	Introduction of members	All	
15 mins	Orientation: mission and deliverables of this Task Force <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Review of the Trust Registry recommendations from the <a href="#">Good Health Pass Interoperability Blueprint</a></li><li>• <a href="#">Loom video</a> (<a href="#">Darrell O'Donnell</a> recorded) -</li></ul>	<a href="#">Drummond Reed</a>	
15 mins	Real-world implementation community example: Global COVID Credentials Network (GCCN)	<a href="#">Lucy Yang</a> <a href="#">Kaliya Young</a> <a href="#">John Walker</a>	
10 mins	Technology approaches	All	
10 mins	Meeting schedule and next steps	Chairs	

## Recording

- [link to the file](#)

## Presentation(s)

- [link to the file](#)

## Documents

- [File 1 - link](#)

## Notes

1. Member introductions
2. Orientation: mission and deliverables of this Task Force
  - Review of the Trust Registry recommendations from the [Good Health Pass Interoperability Blueprint](#)
  - Key Deliverables - [Darrell O'Donnell](#) guidance via [Loom video](#). Key Links for Discussion:
    - GitHub Repo - <https://github.com/trustoverip/tswg-trust-registry-tf>
    - GitHub.IO specs - <https://trinsic-id.github.io/tswg-trust-registry-tf/>
      - **NOTE:** we need to move this github.io site under ToIP.
    - Swagger - <https://app.swaggerhub.com/apis/darrellodonnell/GHP.TrustRegistry/0.1.0>
      - **NOTE:** we need to move this Swagger under ToIP control, or find an alternative OpenAPI host.
3. Real-world implementation community example: Global COVID Credentials Network (GCCN)
  - a. Core goal: to provide a bridge between the EU Gateway (for the EU eHealth Network and its Digital COVID Credentials (DCC))
  - b. Will serve the goal that [Darrell O'Donnell](#) described in his Loom message and the [GHP Interoperability Blueprint](#) section 7.2 on Trust Registries
  - c. The GCCN is planning to start a directory of participating trust registries by the end of next week
  - d. Most of the current potential participants are currently operating private trust registries
  - e. [John Walker](#) is preparing a template for listing participating trust registries in a directory
    - i. This could be maintained a GitHub file
  - f. The goal would be to implement within a month
4. [Jim St.Clair](#) raised the question of supply vs. demand
  - a. Providence Healthcare currently serves 7 states and is working on getting access to the states' IIS systems
  - b. He is not yet seeing coordinated demand for access to a trust registry for verification
  - c. [Lucy Yang](#) said that today most of the health pass solutions are implementing their own trust registry solutions, but that these are not interoperable
    - i. She has been hearing some demand for accessing the US IIS systems in some coherent fashion
  - d. Jim confirmed that if there was a solution for coordinated access to US IIS and COVID credential
  - e. [Lucy Yang](#) shared that there are two types of implementers of trust registries
    - i. The first type is for original issuers—in the health domain
    - ii. The second type is "reissuers" or "proxy issuers" like IATA
    - iii. Both are peers in the network but operationally they are different
    - iv. [Daniel Bachenheimer](#) noted this quote from the GCCN announcement: "GCCN will include a global directory of trust registries to enable cross-border certificate verification, and be a home for toolkits and community-managed support for those building and managing COVID certificate systems."
    - v. So Dan asked whether there was any effective difference between these two
    - vi. [Lucy Yang](#) said that was in discussion — that the concept of a "pass" is foreign to the EU because they currently only recognize COVID certificates.
    - vii. [Daniel Bachenheimer](#) ask about the trust model — about who would trust GCCN
    - viii. [Kaliya Young](#) clarified that the GCCN directory is not designed to be separately trusted
    - ix. [Savita Farooqui](#) said that the trust decision of what issuers are trusted by a governing authority is up to the governing authority
5. [Jim St.Clair](#) also raised the importance of the link between a trust registry and the associated ecosystem governance framework
  - a. This is critical to enable real-world trust in each trust registry and enable peers to make trust decisions about which other peers to trust
  - b. [Drummond Reed](#) strongly agreed and pointed out that strong binding is supported in the [GHP Interoperability Blueprint](#), particularly in the Governance and Trust Frameworks recommendations (section 7.3)
6. Technology approaches—we ended out with very little time to discuss this
  - a. [ToIP Trust Registry Protocol](#)
  - b. Swagger API
  - c. Chained Credentials—see [ToIP ACDC \(Authentic Chained Data Container\)Task Force](#)
  - d. [DIF Identity Hubs](#)
  - e. CARDEA and machine-readable governance
7. Meeting schedule
  - a. One plenary meeting a week
  - b. One or two other meetings per week to advance the spec
8. Agenda items for next meeting

## Slides

#1 —

## Decisions

- ☐ Sample Decision Item

## Action Items

- ☐ Sample Action Item

