

2021-05-06 Security, Privacy and Data Protection Drafting Group Meeting Notes

Attendees

- Abdul Sattar
- Andrew
- Chuck Curran
- [Daryl Thomas](#)
- [Drummond Reed](#)
- [Jan Lindquist](#)
- [Kaliya Young, WG Co-chair](#)
- [Ken Adler, Co-chair](#)
- [Trev Harmon, PM](#)

Agenda Items

Time	Item	Who
2 min	Welcome & Antitrust Policy Notice	Trev
	Discussion topics: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Updates to group draft• Privacy notice• Scope of usage for credentials• Governance scope• EU COVID-19 Certificate	Everyone
3 min	Wrap up	Ken

Recording - [Link](#)

Notes

- Did the antitrust and IP announcement.
- Had a discussion regarding the what needs to go into our document (i.e., separation of recommendations and supplemental documents).
- Chuck gave a brief overview of the discussion he and Jan had, which included providing a preview of how the credentials may be used.
- Drummond showed and explained the thoughts with the Ecosystem Governance Framework diagram. He also talked about verifying the verifier.
- Chuck noted that the new EU certificate is only to be used for travel. This led into a discussion on the disclosure of purposes at the point of issuance.
- An issuer can preview, but can't definitively state all of the downstream uses.
- Chuck is suggesting that there is an ecosystem-level of notice about intended use, not just a notice from the issuer. Jan suggested that this would fall into a code of conduct.
- We had a discussion around the scope of usage.
- We talked about some of the aspects of the EU COVID-19 Certificate.
- Drummond would like our group to write the MUSTs, SHOULDs, and MAYs for the governance framework regarding the scope of usage. How do we want to enable privacy by design.
- Jan noted that it's important to make sure that we have a user-centric design (e.g., what are people's rights and how the data will be managed).
- Chuck said that he would take a first pass at writing a list of things that a governance framework would need to consider in terms of the discussion we have been having.
- We have rounds of review where we will get a lot of feedback.
- Trev noted that he'd like it "baked in" that data is ephemeral on the verifier side.
- Jan noted that he and Chuck had discussed some type of "badge" system that could provide information to users about levels of adherence or usage for disclosure purposes
- (e.g., this transaction is using ZKP, no PII/PHI is retained, etc.). This would be a trust driver.

Chat Log

00:08:28 Chuck Curran: https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/TA-9-2021-0145_EN.html#title2
00:12:25 Drummond Reed: My suggestion is that it is fine for this group to publish either
appendices or separate documents as part of our recommendations.
00:28:07 Kaliya Identity Woman: isn't it up to the holder
00:38:24 Kaliya Identity Woman: that feels good
00:40:08 Andrew (Amex): Trevor, so you fear verifiers over-reaching in their request for info?
00:41:22 Drummond Reed: See the anti-coercion section in the Trust over IP RFC: <https://github.com/hyperledger/aries-rfcs/blob/master/concepts/0289-toip-stack/README.md>
01:00:26 Trev Harmon: Drafts open for review:
https://drive.google.com/drive/u/2/folders/lemrHhP2GvtmG9pI9wkmnYZqM4_VlAEk8
01:07:03 Andrew (Amex): Thanks. Nice meeting everyone

Action Items

1. Everyone to continue with the review process.