# 2021-05-04 Rules Engines Drafting Group Meeting Notes

#### **Attendees**

- Chris Buchanan, Chair
- Jason Hare
- · Kaliya Young, WG Co-chair
- Noam Arzt
- Rob Broere
- Steve Magennis
- Trev Harmon, PM
- Viola

## Agenda Items

Time	Item	Who
2 min	Welcome & Antitrust Policy Notice	Trev
5 min	Review of the draft's primary diagram	Chris
	Discussion on diagram and open comments in the draft	Everyone
3 min	Wrap up	Chris

### Recording - Link

#### **Notes**

- · Did IP and antitrust announcement.
- We looked at the diagram in the document. Chris would like verification regarding whether or not the diagram matches people's understanding.
- Rob would like clarification on the difference between B4 and B6. The diagram was derived from some of Rob's earlier diagrams.
- · We discussed the interaction between the system, credentials, and passes.
- Chris' preferred term in this section would be "access" instead of "travel". The process described is intended to be generic enough to be used in other contexts.
- Kaliya noted that we are going to need to be able to consume things other than just verifiable credentials as inputs into a rules engine to generate
  a pass.
- Chris said we may need to split B4, as it is doing more than one thing.
- We discussed the meaning of the different boxes, and updated the diagram.
- . There was a discussion regarding where the travel-related information comes into the diagram, as opposed to just healthcare-related data.
- Chris is going to update the diagram and associated language.
- We moved on to discussing Challenge #1.
- Our document is likely to be the first section in the outline in order to provide framing.
- Drummond has updated the GHP definition of credential to include identity binding, which is missing from the W3C definition that was originally
  adopted. This should help resolve some of the issues in the document.
- There is a tension in the possibility of overly minimized credentials that could allow for bad behavior.

## **Chat Log**

00:31:35	Kaliya Identity Woman: we have to orient to travel use case	
00:32:05	Kaliya Identity Woman: it can - but our work here has to orient to travel	
00:38:25	Kaliya Identity Woman: but who trusts those Notaries?	
00:38:33	Kaliya Identity Woman: it's too complicated	
00:39:08	Steve Magennis: in my mind suitability of credentials == trust registry	
00:39:29	Steve Magennis: suitability of credential for the context <> trust registry	
00:43:47	Kaliya Identity Woman: it IS specific to aviation	
00:45:33	Kaliya Identity Woman: please go read some is the other documents	
00:48:53	Kaliya Identity Woman: read the other documents :) those questions are answered in other	r
groups		
00:51:06	Kaliya Identity Woman: that should be "good health pass"	
00:51:27	Kaliya Identity Woman: that would alight with rest of the document	
00:51:52	Rob Broere: I agree fully with Noam B1-B5 are common for all Use cases B6 should	
be transfer it	to a TravelPAss	
00:52:41	Steve Magennis: in this context 'good health' is inseperable from the desire to travel	
not just an in	eresting attribute of an individual	
00:52:52	Kaliya Identity Woman: B5 should say "Good Health Pass"	
00:54:09	Rob Broere: I would call B5 Good Health Credential It does NOT yet give any approva	1
to use against	the Use Case	
01:12:47	Trev Harmon: Thank you, everyone. I need to hop to a different drafting group. Chris is	
now the meetin	host.	
01:22:47	viola ICTS: hi Chris, my network is not good, i will comment on the documents	

#### Action Items

1. Everyone is invited to review the other groups' drafts.