

2021-04-15 Governance Framework Drafting Group Meeting Notes

Attendees

- **Co-Leads:** Drummond Reed
- **ID2020 PM:** Todd Gehrke

Participants:

- Scott Perry
- Darrell O'Donnell
- Saveita Farooqui
- Jacques Bikoundou
- Julian Ranger
- Kaliya Young
- Sankarshan
- Julian Ranger

Agenda Items

Time	Item	Who
2 min	Welcome & Antitrust Policy Notice	Chair
XY min	Topic A	TBC
XY min	Topic B	TBC
XY min	Topic C	TBC
3 min	Wrap up	Chair

Presentations - [2021-04-15 Governance Framework DG Meeting.pdf](#)

(PDFs posted)

1. Welcome and Linux Foundation antitrust policy

Recording - [Link](#)

Notes

April 15, 2021

1. [Kaliya] updates from the Closed Loop Summit
 - a. Role of proxy issuers is a topic which came up at the discussions; [Drummond] is 'proxy issuer' being used; 'secondary issuers' has been the one using. [Kaliya] health pass issuers could be secondary issuers whereas proxy issuers have a direct relationship with labs and are creating credentials in wallets. The lab itself does not issue but the app maker obtains the credentials from the labs and signs it digitally. [Darrell] likes the term but the definition being used might be restrictive - they are doing it as a service for someone (as a 'proxy')
2. [Drummond] agenda items
 - a. Last meeting before IIW (next week); need to gather for figuring out specific topics we'd want to present at IIW
 - i. From Scott
 1. ToIP Metamodel
 2. GHP efforts to use the ToIP MetaModel to solve a real business problem
 - b. ToIP Governance Metamodel [has been further refined](#) based on feedback originating from the GHPC
 - i. Biggest change has been terminology - originating from rules/rules engine in the context of governance. Was previously used in a generalized aspect. With the specialized topic of rules/rules engines originating from GHPC, this needed to be replaced by a more specific and non-technical terms
 - ii. [Scott] In the GHPC Glossary, the term 'Directive' has now been defined. The challenge in all GFs, the actions being taken by GAs are an amalgamation of a variety of things. Directive as a term provides a category for these. GAs make more than just Policies within a GF.
 1. Drummond - has reviewed the entry for the word on Wikipedia to determine the usage in policy context
 2. Machine readable (rules) and Human Auditable requirements (policies) are the 2 aspects which need to be differentiated - [specifications](#) are a combination of those
 3. [Scott] What about the SHOULDs when the requirements are the MUSTs see [RFC 2119](#)
 4. Governance and Business Requirements have been added as emerging from the discussion today
 - a. [Savita] Business, Technical Requirements and Operations - reconciling with the IEEE P2145 work that is ongoing.
 - b. [Drummond] Should a category for UX requirements be added; [Savita] Customer Experience/Journey along with incentives (positive/negative)
 - c. [Todd] Enforcement - would that not need engaging at requirements across specific jurisdictions?

- iii. [Scott] Risk Assessment and Trust Assurance have been separated because they are separate. 'Certification' has been removed since it is part of the TAF to determine whether certification would occur.
 - 1. How are risks mitigated through the risks/requirements
 - 2. Also contains a risk assessment standard as a baseline (ISO 27005)
 - 1. [Julian] Who do we think would be creating the TAF? [Drummond has the EoE model on screen at 35th min in recording]
 - a. Nation states, groups
 - 2. [Darrell] highlights from some recent conversation with government authorities
 - 3. [Savita] How can we say 'compliant with GF'
 - a. [Drummond] that will come down to our own decisions about certification of a specific EGF for compliance with the GHPEGF as a general EGF
- c. Drafting template
 - i. [needs link] has been simplified

2. Topic A

3. Topic B

4. Topic C

5. Wrap up

- Next steps

Action Items

- 1. TBC