# 2021-04-08 Security, Privacy and Data Protection Drafting Group Meeting Notes

#### **Attendees**

- Abdul Sattar
- Drummond Reed
- Jan Lindquist
- Kaliya Young, WG Co-chair
- Lisa Rabenau
- Michael Becker
- Pagona Tsormpatzoudi
- Peter Davis
- Robin Renwick
- Trev Harmon
- Zsombor Szabo

## Agenda Items

Time	Item	Who
2 min	Welcome & Antitrust Policy Notice	Trev
10 min	Review of Work to Be Completed	Pagona
	Discussion on Principles	Everyone
3 min	Wrap up	Pagona

## Recording - Link

#### **Notes**

- Did the IP / antitrust announcement.
- The Google document is the place where everyone can put their ideas, regardless of structure. We'll be editing the input, so don't worry about format right now.
- We are meeting on Tuesdays and Thursdays at the same time
- We need to have all of the main ideas into the document; otherwise we won't have enough time to edit.
- Reviewed the timeline for the next couple of months.
- · Reviewed the three different zones.
- · Reviewed the set of principles listed in the Google document.
- Had a discussion regarding data minimization.
- Discussing data binding, Drummond noted:
  - Zone 1 is all about gathering the data regarding the event.
  - Zone 2 deals with identity binding as part of the credential issuance, as Zone 3 needs to be able to know that the credential belongs to the specific person.
- The level of assurance in Zone 3 cannot be any higher than the level of assurance done in Zone 1 and Zone 2. Zone 2 can add additional binding
  information, which may raise the level of assurance.
- Peter asked why we would want to entangle data minimization requirements with levels of assurance.
- We talked through how the principles apply across the zones:
  - Zone 1: Don't collect more information than you need.
  - Zone 2: Don't collect more information than you need to do binding.
  - Zone 3: Don't ask for more information than you need.
- Had a discussion regarding data quality.
- Peter pointed out that regulation tends to require this (e.g., GDPR requires that data be accurate, and that there is a method by which to correct incorrect data).
- Had a discussion regarding consent and the related data. It was proposed that we document requirements for the recording of notice and consent.
- The risk assessment needs to be part of the governance framework.
- Had a discussion regarding how one proves that a specific solution meets security and privacy requirements.
- The individual needs to be at the center of all of this.
- The GHPC Steering Committee has recently had conversations regarding both a certification program and a test suite.
- The Governance Framework group is also looking at a method for certifying other frameworks.
- Discussed how certification would work may apply.

### **Chat Log**

00:04:14	Michael Becker:	Sorry!!!!		
00:06:32	abdul sattar:	can you please share google drive. apologies, I might have missed the link		
00:06:40	Drummond Reed:	Great point. Which document should we be contributing to?		
00:06:50	Trev Harmon:	https://docs.google.com/document/d		
/1_gnVGOrlT59cN	wiZlZJb3RTO90GrRIbS7	7bd39b3DJiE/		
00:24:35	Drummond Reed:	In Zone 1 and Zone 2, data must be collected in order to administer the		
health event an	d to issue the crede	ential or pass. In those zones, data minimization applies to data collection.		
00:26:15	Jan Lindquist:	would like to double check the agenda. had a couple of suggestions for		
work in the group which is at the bottom of the google doc. It might be touching on some of the questions in a				
structured approach.				
00:32:00	Robin Renwick (IE):	False positives/false negatives that automatically issue a cert		
/record in Zone	1/2 would be a cond	gern.		
00:48:54	Drummond Reed:	+1 to "individual at the center"		

#### **Action Items**

- All main ideas need to be in the document by Friday, April 16th.
   Jan to bring information next time regarding defining code of conduct.