2020-12-22 Meeting

Send an email to inputs-and-semantics-wg@lists.trustoverip.org to request a calendar invite (you can subscribe to the mailing list at lists.trustoverip.org).

Agenda

Guiding Goal: The granularity of OCA. What might the next version of OCA look like?

- Welcome (Paul—2.5 mins)
- Newcomer Introductions (WG-2.5 mins)
- Task Force Updates (WG, 5 mins)
 - Imaging TF (Scott)
 - Medical Information TF (Scott)
 - OCA-FHIR FG (John)
 - Notice & Consent TF (Mark)
 - Privacy & Risk TF (Jan)
- Navigating the revamped wiki page: Inputs and Semantics WG (Paul—10 mins)
 - ° Ref.: https://wiki.trustoverip.org/display/HOME/Inputs+and+Semantics+Working+Group
- Evolution of OCA (Open discussion led by Paul/Robert)
- Human-readable schema specifications (Steven—10 mins)
- Logistics and miscellaneous (Paul—5 mins)
 - News from the Operations Team
 - Nick Nayfack (Group representative)
 - Meeting schedule
 - Semantics Domain WG weekly meeting
 - Tuesday, December 29th @ 09:00 US PT / 18.00 CET
 - Zoom link: https://zoom.us/j/93406719136?pwd=SUozZHBQM0N5TUhYMHJqL0ZQM3l3Zz

Meeting Notes

Recording

From the chat:

Mike Bennett to Everyone (6:27 PM)

You can't rely on human-readable labels as a reliable source of meaning. Need some formal semantics.

Neil Thomson to Everyone (6:29 PM)

Need schema path identification scheme.

This is used in dimensional data models as the "schema base" identification model

Steven Milstein to Everyone (6:30 PM)

If you consider systems that support Internationalization (i18n), attribute names, like labels, messages or any text would have unique IDs(keys)

It's time consuming from a human-readable point of view, if you're only concerned with one language.

Can the schema base have "required overlays"?

Robert Mitwicki to Everyone (6:43 PM)

yes we call it core overlays

Burak Serdar to Everyone (6:46 PM)

I suggest you change the schema base examples to use hashes as attribute names, so they don't look like arrays.

Neil Thomson to Everyone (6:46 PM)

Would agree on the base data - which is the storage format. Otherwise "type" is really formatting convenience (e.g. time of day, height, weight)

Carly Huitema to Everyone (6:47 PM)

The risk of hashes is it is really hard to type or compare. I agree attr-1 suggests an array, but it is also easy to compare.

Paul Knowles to Everyone (6:48 PM)

Thanks, Burak. Agreed. We can go with hashes as attribute names.

Salvatore D'Agostino to Everyone (6:49 PM)

depends on the size of the name space.. collisions concerns

identifier space..

Burak Serdar to Everyone (6:50 PM)

Are attributes globally unique? Or are they only unique within the schema it is used in?

Robert Mitwicki to Everyone (6:51 PM)

the idea was to have something global unique

as soon as we can capture the context

Burak Serdar to Everyone (6:52 PM)

I suppose that can work, but then you get into a registry of attributes, who governs that, etc. I suggest the only requirement be that they are unique within the schema, and schema.attr globally identifies it

Salvatore D'Agostino to Me (Direct Message) (6:53 PM)

consider signed object vs. hash

Mike Bennett to Everyone (7:02 PM)

I concur this can be used to present and review schema details in the different OCA layers.

Participants (Name / Location / Time zone / Affiliation):

- Paul Knowles / Basel, Switzerland / CET / Human Colossus Foundation
- Robert Mitwicki / Graz, Austria / CET / Human Colossus Foundation
- Jay Fischbach / Toronto, Canada / EST / KABN
- Ken Adler / San Francisco, CA / PST / ThoughtWorks
- David Luchuk / Vancouver, Canada / PST / Trust over IP Foundation
- Burak Serdar / Denver, CO, USA / MST / Cloud Privacy Labs
- Carly Huitema / Guelph, Canada / EST / University of Guelph, Waterloo Centre of Microbial Research
- John Walker / Bay Area, CA, USA / PST / SemanticClarity, CCI
- Kevin Dean / Toronto, Canada / EST / GS1 Canada
- Mark Lizar / Toronto, Canada / EST / Open Consent Group
- Mike Bennett / Wales, UK / GMT / Freelance Ontologist
- Mukundan Parthasarathy / Bay Area, CA, USA / PST / SemanticClarity
- Neil Thomson / Ottawa, Canada / EST / QueryVision
- Philippe Page / Geneva, Switzerland / CET / Human Colossus Foundation
- Steven Milstein / Montreal, Canada / EST / Colab Ventures
- Karl Kneis / Cliffside Park, NJ, USA / idRamp
- Subra Subramaniam / Bay Area, CA, USA / PST / CyberKnowledge
- Salvatore D'Agostino / Boston, MA, USA / EST / Open Consent Group

Leadership positions:

- Inputs and Semantics WG
 - o ISWG Lead : Paul Knowles (Human Colossus Foundation)
 - Operations Team Group Representative : Nick Nayfack (Team Ikigai)
 - o Inputs Group
 - Chair : Robert Mitwicki (Human Colossus Foundation)
 - Vice-Chair : Samuel Smith (ProSapien)
 - Semantics Group
 - Chair: Paul Knowles (Human Colossus Foundation)
 - Vice-chair : John Wunderlich (JLINC Labs)
- Medical Information TF
 - Chair volunteers
 - Mukundan Parthasarathy (SemanticClarity)
 - John Walker (SemanticClarity)
 - Vice-chair volunteers
- Notice & Consent TF
 - Chair volunteers
 - Mark Lizar (Open Consent Group)
 - Salvatore D'Agostino (Open Consent Group)
 - Vice-chair volunteers
- Privacy & Risk TF
 - Chair volunteers
 - Jan Lindquist (Linaltec)

O Vice-chair volunteers

