2020-11-30 Weekly Meeting

30 November 2020 — 8AM PT, 11AM ET, 16:00 UK, 17:00 CET

Send an email to technical-stack-wg@lists.trustoverip.org to request a calendar invite (you can subscribe to the mailing list at lists.trustoverip.org).

Attendees

David Luchuk

Phil Feairheller, Scoir, Inc. <phil@scoir.com>

Steven Milstein

Drummond Reed

Stephen Curran

Christoph Schneider

Steve McCown

Luca Boldrin

APAC 2020-12-02 (No Recording)

David Luchuk

Vinod Panicker

Amar Tumballi

Eric Drury

blocked URL

Recording (North America / Europe)

Recording (APAC) - n/a

Goals

- Assign action items for next meeting
- Establish work plan for WG deliverables and ensure action items have owners
- Gather agenda suggestions for next meeting

Agenda Items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
1 min	Welcome	D. Luchuk	
2 mins	Antitrust Policy Notice	D. Luchuk	
2 mins	New Introductions	D. Luchuk	• None
2 mins	Minutes from last meeting (16-Nov-2020)	D. Luchuk	Approval: By Consensus
5 mins	Announcements - review	D. Luchuk	
5 mins	Proposed Taskforce - Verifiable Authorizations	TBC	
10 mins	L1 Interop Spec	P. Feairheller	
15 mins	Task Force SOS (Scrum of Scrums)	TF Leads	

5 mins	Discussion Topics	All	
5 mins	Review Action and Open Items	All	

Announcements

Remind	ers - revisiting items from Nov 16 meeting
	Education Meetings
	Planned: None
	Call for Education Sessions
	KERI Protocol to be revisited (open item)
	DIF Interop WG Interlock - Arch Map
	Hyperledger Indy DID Method Specification WG
Taskforce	- Verifiable Authorizations
Review	previous suggestion and discuss TF set-up

Layer 1 Interop Spec

Phil Feairheller explained the open questions about this spec:

- 1. Should we have separate specs/APIs for DID resolution (reads) and DID registration (writes).
 - a. Stephen Curran suggested that yes, it would be best to separate reading, which may often be across DID methods, and writing, which is likely to be specific to writing to a "home network".

Drummond noted that this thread was ongoing in the GitHub for the W3C Verifiable Credentials Data Model 1.0 spec, and that it was

- 2. Should we have a separate spec for DID network discovery?
 - a. Stephen Curran also felt this would be helpful because decentralized discovery is already a topic on the Indy DID Method Spec WG.
 - b. Phil Feairheller agreed that could be very helpful to be able to do "trusted discovery".

Sam Smith was not able to attend, so we did not discuss this proposed TF further

3. Do we need separate specs/APIs for schema discovery?

highly relevant to this proposed TF

- a. Stephen Curran felt that this could be more complicated. The goal of the Indy DID method spec is to make access to all these ledger objects based on DID resolution. So to retrieve the object, you would simply resolve the DID.
- b. Phil Feairheller asked whether this would also apply to revocation registries.
- c. Stephen Curran felt yes, that would also be the best way to access that info.
- Drummond Reed agreed with all the comments above and strongly endorsed using DID resolution and registration as the primary mechanism we should rely for abstraction of Layer 1.
- 5. We then discussed what should be specified by DID method specifications and what should be specified by our ToIP Layer 1 specs.
 - a. Drummond Reed spoke to the need for the ToIP Layer 1 specs to provide as much guidance as possible to DID method specification authors about interop
 - b. This includes defining DID document type for common Layer 1 objects like schemas and revocation registries.
 - c. Phil Feairheller agreed, and will take this into account in the specs

Task Force SOS (Scrum of Scrums)

TF Name	Mission	Members	Status
Privacy by Design	Submit a ToIP Design Principles Deliverable.	Jim St.Clair (lead) Drummond ReedD an Gisolfi John Phillips	DUE 30-Sep-20 – OVERDUE and a dependency for Saturn-V proposal. All parties where introduced to the task at hand but no meetings held to complete them. Need to get EU (Jasmin and Johannes) engaged ASAP. Jim St.Clair has submitted a proposal.
Laws of Identity	Submit a ToIP Design Principles Deliverable.	Darrell O'Donnell Dan Gisolfi Victor Golubkov	Need a lead for this TF. Two issues (#15 and #16) opened against DRAFT recommendation. We need more help getting this work-product completed. DUE 30-Sep-20 – OVERDUE and a dependency for Saturn-V proposal. How do we map the Laws of Identity to the various Layers in Trust Over IP Foundation Stack? Drummond Reed reported that Victor Golubkov has been doing work on these design principles.

Saturn-V TIP Task Force	Submit a TIP Recommendation Deliverabl e.	Dan Gisolfi (lead) Phil Feairheller Kevin Griffin	Need volunteers in support of this TIP to participate in the Task Force. Update Saturn V Proposal to reflect new <u>Design Principles</u> from associated TFs. Proposal placed on hold ; A Draft Guide for <u>Design Principles</u> has been ready since late September. We are still pending Design Principles for the following: Laws of Identity and Privacy by Design efforts.
			KanBan Boards and process setup for Aries Vendor Interop Testing. Stephen Curran reported that the Hyperledger Aries WG is working on the Aries Interop Profile V2. That meeting is at noon PT on Wednesdays.
TSWG Deliverables and Process Task Force	Define and communicate to ToIP SC our deliverables and process.	Dan Gisolfi (lead) Drummond Reed D arrell O'Donnell	Needs to update current deck with suggestions for Use Cases Model for TSWG. Collaborate with David Luchukand the Operational Team on how to communicate this info. Need to discuss Issue #1 - TIP Repo Template Contents OVERDUE: Overall Operational Team process definition delays preventing focus on interlock with TIP and Spec deliverables processes. Drummond Reed reported that Daniel Hardman gave an extended presentation to the Concepts and Terminology WG last Monday that went into great depth about the proposed tooling that can also handle permalinks. Everyone is enthusiastic about this design but it needs more work.
TSWG Glossary	Collaborate with CTWG on the process and delivery of a TSWG Glossary.	RJ Reiser (lead)	Terminology: All terminology suggestions should be submitted as Issues to the Concepts and Terminology WG Repo or via alternative CTWG processes. Exploring tools and process for submitting/publishing terms. CTWG is considering a perma-link service for all authors to leverage.
RFC 289 Conversion	Submit a ToIP Specification Deliverable	Drummond Reed (I ead) Dan Gisolfi Darrell O'Donnell	Factored into new joint deliverables proposal that consists of five pieces: 1. Technical Architecture TSS 2. Technology Stack Design Principles 3. Governance Architecture TSS 4. Governance Stack Design Principles 5. Design and Architecture of the ToIP Stack white paper
Layer 1 Interop Spec (LIS 1)	Submit a ToIP Specification Deliverable	Kevin Griffin (lead) Drummond Reed D arrell O'Donnell Phi I Feairheller	Working on a deliverable plan. Spec writing Tool selection underway. Spec outline concepts proposed.
Layer 2 Interop Spec (LIS 2)	Submit a ToIP Specification Deliverable	Dave McKay (lead) Scott Whitmire Rob Bartlett	Working on a deliverable plan. Pending closure and guidance on KanBan Boards and Deliverables process.
Layer 3 Interop Spec (LIS 3)	Submit a ToIP Specification Deliverable	Dave McKay (lead) Scott Whitmire Rob Bartlett	Working on a deliverable plan. Pending closure and guidance on KanBan Boards and Deliverables process.
Decentralization Task Force	Submit a ToIP Recommendation as a Design Principle.	Daniel Bachenheimer (lea d) Jessica Townsend	TBD

Decision Points

None

Discussion Topics (carry-over from Nov 16 meeting)

	GSWG Proposal:	Q1 2021	Joint Deliverables	s Package
--	----------------	---------	--------------------	-----------

- 1. ToIP Technology Stack Design Principles (TSWG) (DP0006 Technology Stack)
 - a. Focus on overall design principles separate deliverable from the specific (DP0003 Laws of Identity) and (DP0004 Privacy by Design) TFs
 - b. Focus is on TIP independent and stack layer independent. So this is a separate full-stack deliverable. For example: (DP0005 Decentralization by Design)
- 2. ToIP Governance Stack Design Principles (GSWG) (DP0007 Governance Stack)
- ToIP Governance Architecture TSS (GSWG) <== underway (TSS0002 Governance Stack)
 ToIP Technical Architecture TSS (TSWG): See RFC 289 Conversion TF (TSS0001 Technology Stack)
- 5. Design and Architecture of the ToIP Stack (white paper) (joint deliverable of GSWG and TSWG): Update Foundation Whitepaper (WP001 0 ToIP Foundation Whitepaper)

Sam Smith - Task Force Proposal for next meeting				
	Verifiable Authorizations: Mapping vCreds spec to authorization semantics. Positioning this concept with respect to other industry activity.			
Migratio	on to Kanban tooling.			

	_	Trello Account Setup; Board creation WIP.		
		Goal is to improve WG reporting (scrum activity) and allow Task Forces to organize and manage activity.		
		Upon completion of KanBan boards: Convert TSWG Bi-monthy Meeting into a Monthly Scrum of Scrums (SOS); Allowing TFs to meet more frequently and to be more focused on actual deliverables than status updates.		
	Triage	GitHub Issues		
Actic	n iten	ns		
	Each T	F is requested to prepare a TF plan with target dates.		
	All TSV	VG Members should read our Deliverable Process and TIP Lifecycle Management; Open issues where appropriate.		
	Set Agenda for next meeting			
		call (Dec 2, 2020) - David Luchuk to 1) send message seeking input from TSWG US/EU on requirements for Utility project underway in region, and 2) propose that TSWG & UFWG meetings for APAC region be merged.		
Oper	n Item	ns .		
Low pri	ority item	s that failed to gain volunteers to champion cause.		
	Change	e TSWG Mtg slot.		
	ISO Inte	erlock discussion : ToIP Liaison (Vicky Lemieux) to ISO TC 307 (Emily Dawson Secretariat)		
	Need to	o establish chair duration and cycle times		
	Possibl	e library - collection of links		