2020-09-23 CTWG Meeting Notes

Assumptions

1. The CTWG process MUST not hold up work being preformed by other WGs.
2. Term definitions arise based on situation context; Overtime that context may change thereby deprecating the definition.

Input

> *CONSUMABLE: Our users (ToIP Foundation) need to be able to easily submit content for maturation.*

- Item Submission ---> Most Granular artifact == TERM
    - Required (See Basic Term Data Model)
        - Name
        - Definition
    - CTWG Process Additions
        - Meta-Data
            - Clarification Attributes: Terms, Words, Concepts, etc
        - Tags (discovery, generation)
- On-Time Pull:
    - Extract concepts from exiting sources
        - Sovrin
        - NIST
        - DIF (?)
    - All extracted content is MAPPED to the basic format (TERM)
- Automated Source Monitoring
    - Ongoing (automated) process for extracting content from existing sources that are still evolving.
        - ESSIF Lab
        - W3C Specs (DID, VCreds)
    - All extracted content is MAPPED to the basic format (TERM)

Maturation

We need to define a process whereby each **ITEM** (regardless of input source) is managed in a consistent format for maturation.

General Assumptions

Input Workflows

- Item Submission
    - Who are the stakeholders?
    - Phases
        - Clarification
        - Proposal
        - Accepted (ready for WG blessed output)
    - NOTE: Glossaries should be able to be produced regardless of any Workflow state.

Basic Term Data Model

- **We need a BASIC/COMMON data model for all items**

The suggested Markdown should be used as a template for the GitHub TERM Issue Form.

PanDoc is a well know OS process for taking Markdown and outputting a variety of rendering formats.

Output

We need to provide/suggest/support processes for generation of various renderings of the content we manage:

- Domain Glossaries
    - Website
    - PDF
    - Markdown
    - other

> *NOTE: See Issue #22*