2022-12-12 TSWG Meeting Notes

Meeting Date

12 Dec 2022 The TSWG meets bi-weekly on Mondays at 08:00-09:00 PT / 15:00-16:00 UTC. See the ToIP Calendar for meeting times and logistics.

Zoom Meeting Link / Recording

 https://zoom.us/j/98733571820?pwd=Z09yRWVCRUtNU0JVc0tvYkNhWk42UT09 (This link will be replaced with a link to the recording of the meeting as soon as it is available)

Attendees

- Darrell O'Donnell
- Wenjing Chu
- Daniel BachenheimerAntti Kettunen
- Andor Kesselman
- Tim Bouma
- Neil Thomson
- Steve McCown
- ...

Main Goals of this Meeting

1) Task Force reports 2) 2023 Q1 planning

Important links:

 Component list for prioritizing development on 2023: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d /1hmrgWb0ySGpfC9VuTLrwpDK7Cv6Kkr5VKC6yB1mFtRo/edit?usp=sharing

Agenda Items and Notes (including all relevant links)

Ti me	Agenda Item	Le ad	Notes
3 m in	 Start recording Welcome & antitrust notice Introduction of new members Agenda review 	Ch airs	 Antitrust Policy Notice: Attendees are reminded to adhere to the meeting agenda and not participate in activities prohibited under antitrust and competition laws. Only members of ToIP who have signed the necessary agreements are permitted to participate in this activity beyond an observer role. New Members:
5 m in	General announcements	All	Updates from TSWG members of general interest to the group.
2 m in	Review of Action Items from the previous meeting	Ch airs	 ACTION: Drummond Reed to add to the Technology Architecture Task Force agenda on Thursday the topic of revising the conceptual diagram of the ToIP stack to reflect the work in the ToIP Technology Architecture Specification V1. ACTION: Judith Fleenor to complete the process of approval of the ToIP/DIF collaboration memo to clear the way for joint meetings on the topic of Trust Registries and Trust Establishment.
			ACTION: Drummond Reed to ask Elisa Trevino to remove the Dec 26 meeting from the ToIP Calendar.

1 5 m in	Task Force Reports	TF Le ads	 Technology Architecture TF — Wenjing Chu Drummond Reed Drummond Reed is working on a blog post about the ToIP Trust Spanning Protocol — he will post a link to our Slack channel as soon as it is ready for review. The goal is to publish it no later than Dec 19th. The TATF needs to find a new meeting slot that is not the off-week for the TSWG meetings as too many folks have Monday morning conflicts (e.g. HXWG). DISCUSSION TOPIC: Can we find one more meeting slot on Tue or Wed that we can alternate between TSWG meetings and TATF meetings? NOTES: Where should we go next? Next thing for TATF should be to create a list for the components worked on in other organizations. Then prioritize roadmap for ToiP TATF work. Priority in spanning layer protocol(s)? Component list being set up here: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d //hmrgWbOySGpfC9VuTLrwpDK7Cv6Kkr5VKC6yB1mFtRo/edit?usp=sharing Trust Registry TF — Darrell O'Donnell The ToIP / DIF collaboration memo is now signed by both Executive Directors - we will be working to align discussions between the groups. Andor Kesselman is the lead there. ACTION: Darrell O'Donnell to coordinate renaming of TATF call to TRTF for Thursday's TF working sessions. Ad Hoc meetings prepared for this week: Tuesday 9am PT (US/EU) and Wednesday 6pm PT (APAC) Google Doc for early (and messy) capture - re-ijgging Github for more formal capture and work co-leads added: Antti Kettunen and Andor Kesselman have stepped up ACDC TF — Samuel Smith Phil Feairheller Al & Metaverse (AIM) Technology TF — Wenjing Chu Vikas Malhotra .
1 5 m in	Q1 2023 Planning		In addition to the Trust Registry Task Force now meeting at full force, there is a propos NOTES: NOTES: Need of new task forces for component level development. Not all components warrant a new Task Force. How to start work on new proposals. Three main things: Spanning layer, DID Auth & Trust Registries Could CTWG be used to create collective & collaborative terminology Tim Year of the wallet wars Battle of Standards & schemes Policy makers become more descriptive Covernments will formulate positions and become more articulate Attention to the public policy level. Policy makers donot care about the tech protocol battles, but the requirements space. This can be seen in EU, California, Canada, etc. TolP to become voice of reason for policy makers. Three onaminutes: DTC/ICAO, Mobile Driver's License (esp states), Education community (national credentials). Differences in approach EU (bureaucracy) vs North America (market led) New document coming for conformity assessment program for testing components from CIOSC "Objects of Conformity Assessment". https://dict.com/CIOSC/CAS-Digital-Credentials/blob/main/scheme/objects/objca-table.md Judith Next year, EU Large Scale Pilots will be providing input for the eIDAS Damine: EU has done the pilot approach before. Scytel and Intrasoft are the small IT-companies who won the EU frame contract. The wallet code is going to be releasaed as open source Start working groups (ICAO & mDL). First meeting of the advisory group on the 17th of Dec. Daniel Bachenheimer is a member of this group and will report back any news.
1 0 m in	Special topic #2		
5 m in	Any other business		
5 m in	 Review decisions /action items Planning for next meeting 	Ch airs	Next meeting: Review component prioritization document: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d //1hmrgWbOySGpfC9VuTLrwpDK7Cv6Kkr5VKC6yB1mFtRo/edit?usp=sharing Define criteria for spinning up new Task Force for component development

Screenshots/Diagrams (numbered for reference in notes above)

Decisions

Sample Decision Item

Action Items

- Darrell O'Donnell Create a collaboration page
- Andor will set up a Google doc to list the potential components / areas to work on next in the TATF Done, see here: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1hmrgWbOySGpfC9VuTLrwpDK7Cv6Kkr5VKC6yB1mFtRo/edit?usp=sharing