

2022-11-24 TATF Meeting Notes

Meeting Date & Time

- 10 Nov 2022 This Task Force holds TWO meetings weekly every Thursday at the following times (to cover global time zones - see the [Calendar of ToIP Meetings](#)):
 - NA/EU 07:00-8:00 PT / 14:00-15:00 UTC
 - APAC 18:00-19:00 PT / 02:00-03:00 UTC

Zoom Meeting Recordings

Meeting recordings:

- NA/EU Meeting: https://zoom.us/rec/play/vrXLID3MFWT6uTKeC8AAIlgkG92U7e8_C8gkyzbvhpaszMf4uebt_8mSfTX_lwKnS-Kjddmo4EwJM7LO7.0jWoLHP6JV6edZ_d?startTime=1669301954000
- APAC Meeting: https://zoom.us/rec/share/f49kS9vVMenZqtCuBkaIAnhEUqFgwm4ofvzQvSY1a_VPUDhGeXnefopKhxale.ANRtkiHBVLSvyDNs?startTime=1669341497000

Attendees

NA/EU Meeting

- [Darrell O'Donnell](#)
- [Antti Kettunen](#)
- [Andor Kesselman](#)
- [Tim Bouma](#)
- [sankarshan](#)
- [Matteo Midena](#)
- Mattia (Monokee)

APAC Meeting

- [Neil Thomson](#)
- [Jo Spencer](#)
- [Andor Kesselman](#)

Main Goals of this Meeting

Discuss TAS and start work on Trust Registry while our American colleagues celebrate thanksgiving.

Agenda Items and Notes (including all relevant links)

Time	Agenda Item	Lead	Notes
3 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Start recording• Welcome & antitrust notice• Introduction of new members• Agenda review	Chairs	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Antitrust Policy Notice: Attendees are reminded to adhere to the meeting agenda and not participate in activities prohibited under antitrust and competition laws. Only members of ToIP who have signed the necessary agreements are permitted to participate in this activity beyond an observer role.• New Members: none
5 min	Announcements	All	<i>It is US Thanksgiving so we will have a light and casual meeting.</i>

2 m in	Review of previous action items	Chairs	<input type="checkbox"/> ACTION: Drummond Reed will close issue #44 by checking with LF counsel Scott Nicholas as to what license should be stated in the LICENSE.MD file. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Scott is preparing an answer for Drummond. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACTION: Drummond Reed to begin a draft of a blog post announcing release of the Public Review Draft of the TAS.
5 m ins	Quick IIW recap	Darrell O'Donnell +++	IIW <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Likely revisit again next week when our US brethren ▪ DIF / ToIP Collaboration <p>Darrell: - building blocks are "there", Trust Registries are missing</p> <p>Andor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trust Registry - agreement • DIDAuth missing activity. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Darrell: while DIDAuth has been around for years, there is no consistency in what it means and how it applies. This results in lack of progress. • Building Blocks for adoption <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ two big blockers (which are?? NJT) ◦ DIDAuth - e.g. how do I prove I own a DID... as a major problem • Trust Registry - particularly decentralized/centralized; online/offline use. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Tim: "managed" vs. "unmanaged" as terms to consider. <p>Tim:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Governments are leaning in - "identity" has been backed off; credentials becoming more important; Trust Registries getting attention • Signing - reverse consequence (limited innovation and created vendor capture) • Raised a concern about DIDDocs and the attack vectors. <p>Antti:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The role of government is to be light in some areas (e.g., where the private sector should lead) but create some guardrails to protect government and citizen interests. • Findy (Finland?) has formal government involvement and is starting with a broad understanding that the bounds aren't quite clear but directionally align with ToIP. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ "connectivity is its own reward."
5 m ins	TAS Release	Darrell O'Donnell	KUDOS to the whole crew. This is a BIG deal.
	TAS PR Review	Andor Kesselman	github
5 m ins	Trust Registry Task Force	Darrell O'Donnell	Confluence page updated for v2 work to begin: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • formal page ToIP Trust Registry Protocol Specification • Loose capture of requirements Trust Registry Protocol v2 - Loose Capture <p>ACTION:</p>

4 5 m in	APAC Call Discussion	Jo Spencer Andor Kesselman Neil Thomson	<p>A ranging discussion on state of the project. The following is a synopsis as input to....</p> <p>How does ToIP Tech Arch get from here (Dec 1) to Interop in 18 to 24 months?</p> <p>Observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSI in transition. Tough to know which existing tech, or in-development tech, to bet on for building ToIP Tech Arch interop in 12-24 months • Tough for devs/teams switching tech or joining ToIP to know where to start. • For bleeding edge ToIP tech, it's hard to keep up, as dev teams focus is "do" vs "explain" • ToIP stack + new tech changing SSI, but in transition: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Some dev on new tech, some developing on existing, planning for transition to new "in the future" • Cooptition reality - existing tech vendors promoting their variation, but that may not be the future. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ e.g., KERI vs 100++ DID Methods, DID Auth • Is there an identified list of prioritized tech "gaps" and/or do we need one? • Does VC interop (including within the same VC ecosystem (e.g., travel, health)) have a road map? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Naive perception - same-old resistance to "anyone else's 'standard'" ◦ Potentially insurmountable semantic differences (e.g., VCs are sufficiently different the information isn't compatible) ◦ Top reason ToIP Interop with fail? <p>Suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to start an Interop immediately, which is continually updated. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ There will likely be multiple paths, but that's OK • Need a Use Case driven development priorities roadmap, starting with a "base" use case the framework as a whole, plus independent areas that can be worked in parallel (e.g., Trust Registries, DIDAuth, ...) ◦ This constantly evolves and reprioritizes as needed ◦ Needed for both bleeding edge dev teams and "fast followers" looking to learn/build ASAP • Need pragmatic "how to get up to speed" guides, pre-built dev sandboxes to get started <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ For new dev on existing tech (whether as future or as "test mules") ◦ Capture what dev orgs/vendors have ToIP-compatible "dev sandboxes" ◦ Encourage dev orgs/vendors to build future sandboxes • Need explainers to follow bleeding edge work to build the "how to" guides and cooperation from devs to "explain to the explainers" <p>Andor Kesselman added the following post-meeting:</p> <p>Create a "Decentralized Identity and Trust Ecosystem Onboarding TF", with the following goals:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Build a model around ssi adoption strategies and implementation. 2. Identify friction in the current ecosystem to onboard. 3. Spark new initiatives to help address #2. <p>A possible deliverable from this group might be a guide to onboarding into SSI</p>
5 m ins	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review decision s/action items • Plannin g for next meeting 	Chairs	

Decisions

- None

Action Items

- [Darrell O'Donnell](#) - find Scott's trust-decision model continuum diagram