

2022-10-10 CTWG Meeting Notes

Meeting Date

- 10 Oct 2022 The CTWG meets bi-weekly on Mondays at 10:00-11:00 PT / 17:00-18:00 UTC. See the [ToIP Calendar](#) for the full schedule.

Zoom Meeting Recording

- <https://zoom.us/rec/share/JGsG4YGsUqQiNMAifDwCRSujw0iw5XGz5dy1rCpuBSJjl0TkbfPtoNTg6YbJQNOT.VBC6Gb-g29x38ERu>

Attendees

- [Drummond Reed](#)
- [Rieks Joosten](#)
- [Sid Haniff](#)
- [Nicky Hickman](#)
- [@neil shah](#)
- [Judith Fleenor](#)
- [Henk van Cann](#)

Main Goal of this Meeting

1) Update on Terminology Toolbox 2.0; 2) Adding terms to the ToIP Core Glossary; 3) Mental Model Task Force (MMTF); 4) How CTWG and other WGs /TFs interact

Agenda Items and Notes (including all relevant links)

Time	Agenda Item	Lead	Notes
3 min	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Start recording• Welcome & antitrust notice• Introduction of new members• Agenda review	Chairs	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Antitrust Policy Notice: Attendees are reminded to adhere to the meeting agenda and not participate in activities prohibited under antitrust and competition laws. Only members of ToIP who have signed the necessary agreements are permitted to participate in this activity beyond an observer role.• New Members: Neal Shah: tech architect at CVS health, does proof of concepts, lots of work in decentralized things; blockchain tech; tech research & innovation.

5 m in	General announcements	All	<p>Any news and updates of general interest to CTWG members</p> <p>From Rieks Joosten in Slack (Thur Oct 6th):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A week after RWoT 11 in the Hague, I thought it a good idea to share my (continued) surprise about <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ The kudo's appreciations that have been expressed in plenaries (e.g., by Joe Andrieu) for the terminology process that I've put him and several others through, not only for its power in getting to understand others, but also for gaining a better understanding of the topics being treated, and for its contribution to team building. ◦ The intention, voiced by Manu Sporny, to work more and more in alignment with this kind of work, and get better definitions part of the standardization work at W3C (a difficulty perhaps being that it takes people to get accustomed to working in another way). • Now let's make sure we get some tooling done that can help them. I've added ReSpec support to the list of contexts that the TEv2 toolbox should be supporting as a second priority (the first being to get something running for ToIP). • This could potentially make it easier for the W3C and ToIP communities to work together.
2 m in	Review of previous action items	Chairs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ ACTION: Drummond Reed edit the Zoom meeting link/recording (in the meeting notes) so that it points to the recording. ✓ ACTION: Drummond Reed agenda item for next meeting: Mental Model TF (MMTF). ✓ ACTION: Drummond Reed agenda item for next meeting: discuss how CTWG and other WGs/TFs interact. ✓ ACTION: Rieks Joosten to discuss skipping meeting of Sept 26th in slack (because of RWOT)
5 m in	Update on Terminology Toolbox 2.0	Chairs	<p>Sid Haniff will report on his progress — a Docker image is ready to be hosted in the ToIP Github.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rieks will explore how to incorporate the Docker tools into eSSIF-Lab work. • Nicky Hickman said she's ready to try out the Terminology Toolbox 2.0 tooling as soon as it is ready for "end-user usage". • Rieks Joosten is also talking within TNO about the growing need for these terminology tools. <p><input type="checkbox"/> ACTION: Drummond Reed to check with Judith Fleenor about resources on hiring additional resources/budgets in order to complete the Terminology Toolbox 2.0 functionality.</p>
10 m in	Adding terms to the ToIP Core Glossary	Anushka Soma-Patel (IOHK)	<p>Open question about adding terms to the ToIP Core Glossary. See this ToIP Slack thread.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anushka was not able to attend this meeting. • Henk van Cann explained that he now has 350 terms in the ACDC terms wiki, and that versioning is of growing importance because the definitions of terms do change, especially with new technology. https://github.com/trustoverip/acdc/wiki and an example of how refer to other umbrella glossaries: https://github.com/trustoverip/acdc/wiki/verifier <p>Rieks Joosten asks for an issue on the versioning discussion between Daniel Hardman and Henk. Here it is: https://github.com/essif-lab/framework/issues/131</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Henk shared this link to show an example of how refer to other umbrella glossaries: https://github.com/trustoverip/acdc/wiki/verifier
15 m in	Mental Model TF (MMTF)	Chairs	<p>We discussed next steps with this proposed Task Force.</p> <p>Rieks shared that at RWOT he did an "adventure" (a standup open space session) to "Determine the Holder" (of a verifiable credential). This helped establish that the RWOT participants had different ideas about what actually defined a holder. It was quite an eye-opener for both Rieks and the RWOT attendees.</p> <p>Rieks said that this highlighted the importance of the mental model work. Nicky Hickman compared a mental model to scaffolding — it gives you the basics from which much more work can be done. It helped her enormously when doing the Yoma glossary work, and more recently in the SSI Harms white paper.</p> <p>Rieks covered the different tasks that could be tackled by a MMTF. The core question is what ToIP and the CTWG actually needs the most from such a proposed TF.</p> <p>Nicky Hickman shared that the biggest job to be done is to educate the ToIP community on the importance and usefulness of mental models and why to use them. This could be done via a simple tutorial and video that shows some real-world use cases and examples for using mental models.</p> <p>Rieks agreed that would be a good first objective of the MMTF. A second objective could be to put a mental model to use in at least one other ToIP Working Group or Task Force.</p> <p>Rieks noted that it has been difficult to get the Technology Architecture Task Force engaged with terminology because they have been under deadline. Drummond Reed noted that it is going to be necessary to complete a full glossary before the ToIP Technology Architecture Specification (TAS) V1 is completed. Rieks pointed out that with architecture discussions, it goes even deeper. He has raised this as an issue in the TAS GitHub. Rieks pointed to this ESSIF Lab example of a complete conceptual architecture.</p> <p>ACTION: Rieks Joosten to create a proposed mission statement for a Mental Models Task Force, ideally before the October 19th All-Member Meeting.</p> <p>ACTION: Drummond Reed to offer a meeting on terminology and mental models to the ToIP Technology Architecture V1.0 Spec Editors team.</p>
15 m in	How CTWG and other WGs/TFs interact	Chairs	<p>We did not have time for this agenda item.</p> <p>ACTION: Drummond Reed to put "How CTWG and other WGs/TFs Interact" on the agenda for the next meeting.</p>

5 m ins	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review decisions /action items• Planning for next meeting	Chairs	
---------------	--	--------	--

Decisions

- None

Action Items

- ☐ ACTION: [Drummond Reed](#) to check with [Judith Fleenor](#) about resources on hiring additional resources/budgets in order to complete the Terminology Toolbox 2.0 functionality.
- ☐ ACTION: [Rieks Joosten](#) to create a proposed mission statement for a Mental Models Task Force, ideally before the October 19th All-Member Meeting.
- ☐ ACTION: [Drummond Reed](#) to offer a meeting on terminology and mental models to the ToIP Technology Architecture V1.0 Spec Editors team.
- ☐ ACTION: [Drummond Reed](#) to put "How CTWG and other WGs/TFs Interact" on the agenda for the next meeting.