

2022-07-28 TATF Meeting Notes

Meeting Date & Time

- 28 Jul 2022 This Task Force holds TWO meetings weekly on Thursdays at the following times (to cover global time zones):
 - NA/EU 07:00-8:00 PT / 14:00-15:00 UTC
 - APAC 18:00-19:00 PT / 01:00-02:00 UTC

Zoom Meeting Recordings

- NA/EU Meeting: <https://zoom.us/rec/share/gYgbQQZ6QyUa-m7zLneckrataJSI4Q-CDEgGC1s34zIVJ3VnGHOnGui3SDxg4Zbcs.LoLkR4rX9NpW6un6>
- APAC Meeting: https://zoom.us/rec/share/0JAeDYRsXZ2KHdLg2bn5q1Ys_epxE6wORH7uNsoPHL3oQ3uv2oRx7hiorpM4JpBU.vXMW9D0ABO22Nsxm

Attendees

NA/EU Meeting

- [Darrell O'Donnell](#)
- [Wenjing Chu](#)
- [Daniel Bachenheimer](#)
- [Neil Thomson](#)
- [Kevin Dean](#)
- [Tim Bouma](#)
- [Vikas Malhotra](#)
- [Judith Fleenor](#)

APAC Meeting

- [Darrell O'Donnell](#)
- [Daniel Bachenheimer](#)
- [Neil Thomson](#)
- [Jo Spencer](#)
- [Allan Thomson](#)

Main Goals of this Meeting


1) Review [proposed revisions to the ToIP Technology Architecture Specification section 4](#) to add a more detailed definition of the purpose and expected outcomes, 2) review [a consolidated list of the core terms and normative requirements](#) prepared during the collaboration sessions earlier in the week.

Agenda Items and Notes (including all relevant links)

Time	Agenda Item	Lead	Notes
3 m in	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Start recording• Welcome & antitrust notice• Introduction of new members• Agenda review	Chairs	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Antitrust Policy Notice: <i>Attendees are reminded to adhere to the meeting agenda and not participate in activities prohibited under antitrust and competition laws. Only members of ToIP who have signed the necessary agreements are permitted to participate in this activity beyond an observer role.</i>• New Members:
5 m in	Announcements	All	Updates of general interest to TATF members. Tim Bouma Digital Assets Consultation paper - has relevance for Layer 1, 2. Identity from a legal perspective (warning 500+ pages)

2 m in	Review of previous action items	Chairs	<input type="checkbox"/> ACTION: ALL MEMBERS to continue to review sections 7 through 11 of the ToIP Technology Architecture Specification to add any final comments or suggestions. <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION: Jo Spencer - proposal on EndPoint Systems/Intermediary Systems communication Req's (discuss on Slack) <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION: Neil Thomson - proposed communication used by EndPoint Systems and Supporting Systems, on-node Support System inter-communication and cross-network interaction within a Support System. <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION: Drummond Reed to raise an GitHub on this topic as it will require more in-depth discussion that is feasible in Google docs (and because we are now trying to move all new issues to GitHub).
	TAS REVIEW		<p>Discussion of progress on the working draft of the ToIP Technology Architecture Specification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Google Doc version - sections 6 and earlier are moved to GitHub • GitHub Markdown version • GitHub issues list
1 5 m ins	Review proposed revisions to section 4	Darrell O'Donnell Wenjing Chu	<p>See this Google Doc for proposed revisions to section 4 that add a more detailed definition of the purpose and expected outcomes, including two parallel tracks for development of component specifications and interoperability profiles/test cases.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make comments and suggestions in the doc • This doc will not be integrated into the spec until a later date. <p>Neil Thomson Suggest that (top) TAF use cases are expanded in component specifications, then again for interoperability profiles/test cases. This provides spec traceability.</p> <p>Darrell O'Donnell to suggest a replacement for Figure 2 (development progression)</p> <p>ACTION: Neil Thomson to update dev prog and/or design principles to include "detailing" of Use cases from Tech Arch through test cases.</p> <p>ACTION: Neil Thomson to update Use Case table to include column Normative Requirement(s) are involved for.</p> <p>ACTION: ALL — think about how do we number (normative) requirements and embed them within the Tech Arch Spec (and then link them to an appendix).</p> <p>General issues</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Everyone has a Layer 1, 2, etc. Need to rename or make ToIP specific • What are "normative requirements" vs. "design principles" (e.g. "spanning layer")? • We need to "get the stories right" prior to working how all the parts of the spec (inc normative req's) fit and interrelate.
3 0 m ins	Review a consolidated list of core terms and normative requirements	Darrell O'Donnell Wenjing Chu	<p>See this Google Doc for a consolidated list of the core terms and normative requirements coming out of the San Jose collaboration sessions earlier this week.</p>
5 m ins	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review decisions /action items • Planning for next meeting 	Chairs	<p>Note: Drummond Reed is on vacation until August 8th.</p> <p>Wenjing is out 05-18AUG2002 - totally off grid</p> <p>Darrell is out 09-18AUG2022</p>

Screenshots/Diagrams (numbered for reference in notes above)



Decisions

- None

Action Items

- ☐ ACTION: [Neil Thomson](#) to update dev prog and/or design principles to include "detailing" of Use cases from Tech Arch through test cases.
- ☐ ACTION: [Neil Thomson](#) to update Use Case table to include column Normative Requirement(s) are involved for.
- ☐ ACTION: ALL — think about how do we number (normative) requirements and embed them within the Tech Arch Spec (and then link them to an appendix).