2022-07-07 TATF Meeting Notes

Meeting Date & Time

- 07 Jul 2022
 - o NA/EU 07:00-8:00 PT / 14:00-15:00 UTC
 - o APAC 18:00-19:00 PT / 01:00-02:00 UTC

Zoom Meeting Recordings

- NA/EU Meeting: https://zoom.us/rec/share/XvpE_AOOjPgA3m_NOVkRomlg4gEOY6BsQl9bR_mzpWwkiRZF_pjD1B30Lq8ctp8l.
- APAC Meeting: https://zoom.us/rec/share/hC9ONEUt3oKTP2U_w-GO66U4qY5HI_uQgH1HhnWA3e7lumdluaeZZKDJz5WMCLSD. Zg1Fu9iSv99joRbl

Attendees

NA/EU Meeting

- Drummond Reed
- Darrell O'Donnell
- Wenjing Chu
- Daniel Bachenheimer
- Neil Thomson
- Kevin Dean
- Tim Bouma
- Vikas Malhotra
- Judith Fleenor Rodolfo Miranda
- Vladimir Simjanoski
- Vlad Zubenko
- Michael Nettles

APAC Meeting

- Drummond Reed
- Darrell O'Donnell
- Wenjing Chu
- Neil Thomson Alex Tweeddale
- Jo Spencer

Main Goals of this Meeting

1) Review the changes to sections 2, 3, and 4 in Working Draft #2 of the ToIP Technical Architecture Spec, 2) Issue resolution.

Agenda Items and Notes (including all relevant links)

Ti me	Agenda Item	Lead	Notes
3 m in	Start recording Welcome & antitrust notice Introduction of new members Agenda review	Chai rs	 Antitrust Policy Notice: Attendees are reminded to adhere to the meeting agenda and not participate in activities prohibited under antitrust and competition laws. Only members of ToIP who have signed the necessary agreements are permitted to participate in this activity beyond an observer role. New Members:
5 m in	Announcements	All	Vikas Malhotra pointed out two new EU laws/regulations: 1. DMA: Digital Markets Act 2. DSA: Digital Services Act • Viky Manaila was elected chair of Cloud Signature Consortium • Wenjing Chu reminded everyone that the Al and Metaverse Task Force kickoff meeting is in two hours. • Tim Bouma reported that the Expert Drafting Team for Digital Trust and Identity in Canada has completed a draft.

2 m in	Review of previous decisions and action items	Chai rs	 DECISION: We will deliver a first Public Review Draft by Thursday 8 Sept 2022 in order to present it at the Hyperledger Global Forum in Dublin beginning Sept 12. We will also try to complete the majority of the work of having a complete draft by the end of July so we can work (possibly largely asynchronously) on issues in August. DECISION: Starting next week, we will spend the majority of each weekly meeting on issue resolution AND we will be driving issues to be closed asynchronously both in the Google Doc and in Github. We will work minor issues in Google doc (and slowly wind those down) and major issues will be in GitHub. ACTION: Allan Thomson and Drummond Reed to draft an Audience and Scope section that clarifies that the ToIP Technology Architecture Specification is a higher-level architecture spec that is not intended to be detailed enough to write implementation test suites against.
3 0 m ins	Revised sections 2, 3, and 4 in the ToIP Technology Architecture Spec	Dru mmo nd Reed	Per the action item above, we review the changes to sections 2, 3, and the new section 4 in Working Draft #2 of the ToIP Technical Architecture Spec. Note that section numbers have now been updated throughout the spec to reflect that one new section was added. Specific comments on the revisions in sections 2, 3, and 4 were recorded as comments in the Google doc. Viadimir Simjanoski felt these sections were definitely an improvement over the previous text. We agreed to add references to the two other deliverables to which this TF has committed. Tim Bouma has started ToIP Primer for Policymakers. (Drummond updated our TF wiki page to reflect that new title.) Neil Thomson suggested that we need a "guide to readers" that lists all the related set documentation vs. trying to shoe-horn that information in each document. DECISION: We will mark each main section of the spec as informative or normative (as is done with specs from W3C and others). There was a general consensus that the revised Motivations section incorporated previous comments. There was some discussion about the name of this section. Drummond Reed explained that "Motivations" was frequently used with IETF RFCs. ACTION: Wenjing Chu will add an additional bullet point to the Motivations section regarding "minimal design", "simplicity", or "spanning layers". We discussed the new separate Use Cases section (section 5). Comments have been added to the Google doc. In addition: Daniel Bachenheimer suggested that it would be good to have more nuanced examples log us driving license but what other authorizations? commercial? organ donor? similar for financial - KYC may be multiple factors; some of which can be re-used - some not Vlad Zubenko suggested including a use case about educational credentials - score reports, job skills etc. ACTION: Vlad Zubenko to add a use case about educational credentials to the table in section 5. ACTION: Draft IOPonnell to revisit the use case table and propose a different set of use cases (Neil Thomson suggested: "Time to
1 5 m ins	Issue resolution	Wen jing Chu	Wenjing asked everyone who does not yet have a GitHub account to open one so that we can start moving discussion of issues to our GitHub repo for the spec. Our weekly meetings going forward will focus heavily on closing open issues in GitHub.
5 m ins	Review decisions /action items Planning for next meeting	Chai rs	Our next call will repeat the pattern of reviewing revisions and closing issues (focusing more on GitHub issues).

Decisions

- DECISION: We will mark each main section of the spec as informative or normative (as is done with specs from W3C and others).
 DECISION: We will not separate out requirements into separate sections organized by layer, rather we will restructure sections 6 and higher to incorporate requirements "inline".

Action Items

ACTION: Drummond Reed to implement the editorial revisions and restructuring reflected in the decisions above.
ACTION: Wenjing Chu will add an additional bullet point to the Motivations section regarding "minimal design", "simplicity", or "spanning layers".
ACTION: Vlad Zubenko to add a use case about educational credentials to the table in section 5.

ACTION: Darrell O'Donnell to revisit the use case table and propose a different set of use cases (Neil Thomson suggests a maximum of 12 that are as different as possible).
ACTION: Wenjing Chu, Drummond Reed and Darrell O'Donnell to either close or move to GitHub as many issues as possible before next week's meeting.