

# 2022-02-15 Meeting Page

1, February 2022 — 11:00 PT, 14:00 ET, 19:00 UTC, 20:00 CET

Send an email to [utility-foundry-wg@lists.trustoverip.org](mailto:utility-foundry-wg@lists.trustoverip.org) to request a calendar invite (you can subscribe to the mailing list at [lists.trustoverip.org](https://lists.trustoverip.org)).

## RECORDING GOES HERE (North America / Europe):

<https://zoom.us/rec/share/1R-NIOuiujfnFLdXDOFq1kF0zZaeEWXIJU5OVIXSHnZ9JkdwKMH4I4DXegSW9r.iBF4k8Cf6CmaQ6xL>

## Attendees

[Jessica Townsend](#)

[Alex Tweeddale](#) [Lynn Bendixsen](#)

[Judith Fleenor](#) [Markus Sabadello](#) [Andre Kudra](#)

## Goals

- Assign action items for next meeting
- Establish work plan for WG deliverables and workflow guidance
- Gather agenda suggestions for next meeting

## Antitrust Policy

### Antitrust Policy & Member Participation

- › Attendees are reminded to adhere to the meeting agenda and not participate in activities prohibited under antitrust and competition laws.\*
- › Only members of Trust over IP who have signed the necessary agreements and charters are permitted to participate in this activity beyond an observer role.

\* Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at Linux Foundation meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at <http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>.

 THE **LINUX** FOUNDATION

## Agenda Items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
1 min	Welcome	J. Townsend	

2 mins	Antitrust Policy Notice	J. Townsend	
5 mins	Announcements	Chairs & All	
10 mins	Action Item Review	Chairs	<input type="checkbox"/> Engagement with Tech Arch Task Force - working on tech stack for ToIP; layer specific specs for overall stack - Darrell O'Donnell/Drummond Reed co leads <input type="checkbox"/> Outreach to KochiOrgBook point of contact to obtain updates given time zone challenges; incorporate into UFWG updates; "Vinod Panicker (iCORE-CRS)" <vinod.panicker@wipro.com> <input type="checkbox"/> Cross reference the Public Identity Utilities for Digital Trust Ecosystems document against the ToIP formal best practices and table for Layer 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Follow up with <a href="mailto:rj.reiser@liquidavatar.com">rj.reiser@liquidavatar.com</a> on Glossary Task Force progress
15 mins	Deliverable Overview	J. Townsend	<p>Public Identity Utilities for Digital Trust Ecosystems - an Overview</p> <p>Assessment on several layer ones - existing research activity merge; solid set of criteria under UFWG</p> <p>SBA Research (cyber security) - didn't even criteria, used W3C document - rubric for evaluating DiD methods. took criteria to evaluate</p> <p>level of decentralization but expanded to security, privacy et al; criteria has adapted since evaluation</p> <p>would be good to build upon - questions as to which DiD method should be used; work has concluded did once based on research collaboration</p>
15 mins	What's Next	A. Tweeddale	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Finalize deliverable - Public Identity Utilities for Digital Trust Ecosystems - an Overview</li> <li>New Deliverable Recommendations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>KERI acting as layer one</li> <li>Architecture and Compliance - deep dive on layer one set up (GDPR/Data)</li> <li>Broader set of Layer 1 utilities, including DeFi, payments for identity</li> <li>How do we work towards interoperability in the space of Layer 1s?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Education sessions - which layer ones do we want to feature/learn more about? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>KERI</li> <li>EBSI</li> <li>LACCHAIN</li> <li>GLEIF</li> <li>cheqd</li> <li>Sidetree and ION</li> <li>Kilt</li> <li>Native Governance chains: Solana/Polkadot/Cosmos/Aleph Zero</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
0 mins	Updates on Utilities	L. Bendixsen	<p>includes those not in run phase</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ID Union</li> <li>Bedrock</li> <li>KochiOrgBook</li> <li>cheqd</li> </ul>
0 mins	Q&A	N/A	

## Announcements



## Task Force SOS (Scrum of Scrums)

TF Name	Mission	Members	Status
---------	---------	---------	--------

<b>Utility Project Implementation Guide Task Force</b>	Create the getting started guide for prospective Utility Projects.	Lynn Bendixsen ( <i>lead</i> )  Dave McKay	<b>IG0029: Utility Project Guide</b>  A <b>new repo</b> has been created and the TF has <a href="#">issued its first objective</a> . Lynn seeks assistance on repo publishing with Mac.  TF is discussing outline and questions to be answered for prospective projects.  Draft Doc: <a href="https://docs.google.com/document/d/1zysi79y5vxNBxhL7yI0M6FAViC_E2VUutUfT5Eac7CA/edit?usp=sharing">https://docs.google.com/document/d/1zysi79y5vxNBxhL7yI0M6FAViC_E2VUutUfT5Eac7CA/edit?usp=sharing</a>  Initial released version: <a href="https://trustoverip.github.io/IG0029-utility-project-guide/">https://trustoverip.github.io/IG0029-utility-project-guide/</a>
<b>Best Practices Task Force</b>	Analyze Project Interviews, establish list of Best Practices and submit each BP using ToIP Deliverables Process.	Mathieu Glaude ( <i>lead</i> )  Claudia Grytz  Lynn Bendixsen  @Sarah Olfert  Dave McKay	<input type="checkbox"/> Drafting framework for evaluating public identity utilities (BP0014) (v2)  <input type="checkbox"/> Drafting v1 of three BP documents (based on feedback from interviews with decentralized PIU conveners /providers)* <input type="checkbox"/> BP0015 - Assessing Sustainability of a PIU (v1) <input type="checkbox"/> BP0016 - Determining Affordability of a PIU (v1) <input type="checkbox"/> BP0017 - Assessing Alignment to a PIU  <input type="checkbox"/> Backlog <input type="checkbox"/> Dashboard Health / Network Monitoring ( <a href="#">Example</a> )  *At future point, consider consolidating all best practices into one comprehensive document  <b>SUGGESTION:</b> Interlocking with Saturn-V TF as a <b>first user</b> of these Best Practices.
<b>Decentralization Task Force</b>	Submit a BP deliverable and a design principle for what it means to be Decentralized.	Jessica Townsend ( <i>lead</i> )  Mathieu Glaude	<input type="checkbox"/> DP0005: Decentralization by Design <input type="checkbox"/> What are the key attributes of a Decentralized Utility? <input type="checkbox"/> Should we consider / review the <a href="#">W3C DID Decentralization Rubric</a> <input type="checkbox"/> Consider their dimensions for evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Spectrum of Decentralization  <input type="checkbox"/> Working docs: <a href="#">Decentralized Network Best Practices</a> and <a href="#">Decentralized Network Design Principles</a>
<b>Glossary Task Force</b>	Generate a UFWG Glossary in collaboration with the CTWG.	RJ Reiser ( <i>lead</i> )	Still pending CTWG process. Submissions under review.

## Decision Points

## Discussion Items

- ☐ W3C DiD Rubric working group follow up - need to make connection - Joel Andrew/Daniel Harden (invite to next call for update)
- ☐ Keep existing document (look to publish); follow up deliverable - expand criteria and compare to Markus and team - comparison framework to map against different utilities and W3C DiD Working Group method (more visual document) make reference to prior work as part of deliverable (alignment with other community efforts)
- ☐ Review original analysis and DiD Rubric; cross reference
- ☐ Terms in deliverable - bold and input definition; likely close out the Glossary Task Force unless further update provided - any unique terms in UFWG should be added into ToIP General Corpus
- ☐ Layer 1 Framework with Tech Arch Taskforce; Alex to join call
- ☐ Create a blog to attract new members - new leadership, 2022 initiatives, who to contact and get involved to be posted to website
- ☐ what other utilities are out to join in members - register with ToIP; target specific people with specific request for input
  - ☐ make list of utilities up and coming - contacts - strategy for discussing to become members
- ☐ Utility overviews - schedule for introducing other utilities/guest speakers; update and newly featured - work through updates of DiD Utility Comparison document
- ☐ DiD Utility Comparison - add a descriptor and purpose of the network to help in evaluation of networks
- ☐

- ☐ Priority Deliverables - Public Identity Utilities for Digital Trust Ecosystems, Comparison document, DiD Utility Comparison
- ☐ Relay to broader ToIP the other deliverables we have targeted to garner interest in carrying forward; how do deliverables use artifacts, order to be read - someone comes in new as a beginner and needs to get educated

## Open Items

*Low priority items that failed gain volunteers to champion cause.*