

2021-09-03 Communications Committee Meeting Notes

Date

September 3, 2021

Attendees

- [Judith Fleenor](#)
- [John Jordan](#)
- [Ajay Madhok](#)
- [Drummond Reed](#)
- [Daniel Bachenheimer](#)
- [Steven Milstein](#)
- [Neil Thomson](#)

Guests:

Agenda

Time	Item	Who	Notes
5 min	Action Item Update - ToIP Approved Deliverable Template	Judith Fleenor	Postponed
5 min	Action Item Update - ToIP Year in Review	Judith Fleenor Ajay Madhok	
5 min	BLOG Approval	Judith Fleenor	
15 min	BLOGs for Approved Deliverables	Judith Fleenor and All	
5 min	Position Papers Discussion	Steven Milstein	
5 min	Community Response to the DID v1 REC vote	Drummond Reed	
2 min	LF marketing support for JDF projects- coming soon	Judith Fleenor	
15 min	Open Discussion	All	
1 min	Wrap up	Judith Fleenor	

Recording

[Link](#)

Presentations/Documents

- Agenda Topics (pdf):



Communications ...mber 3 2021.pdf

Notes

Action Item Update - ToIP Approved Deliverables Template

[Judith Fleenor](#) shared that [RJ Reiser](#) and James from Liquid Avatar and [Drummond Reed](#) and herself have created templates to be used for our deliverables. Since James had not come on the call, the topic was postponed.

Action Item Update - ToIP Year in Review

[David Lucatch](#) provided a draft of the year in review that was attached to the meeting agenda.

[Ajay Madhok](#) provided a draft infographic which was presented in the slide deck.

[Judith Fleenor](#) expressed the following concerns and raised the following questions about the Year In Review

- Concerns:
 - Not is same voice
 - Doesn't tell a story
 - Laundry List vs High Points
- Purpose - BLOG vs Year End Report
- Importance and Uses

Discussion followed:

[Drummond Reed](#) after seeing Ajay's infographic stated that he thought High Points should be the goal.

[Steven Milstein](#) suggested in chat that the infographic have indicators of status of each item on the infographic

[John Jordan](#) offered Alex Metcalf to help wordsmith/finalize the Year In Review

[Ajay Madhok](#) requested that [John Jordan](#) and [Drummond Reed](#) provide ToIP First Year Goals to help in crafting the story in the text and infographic.

[Judith Fleenor](#) mentioned that one of her uses for this document would be to assist in attracting new members and during the membership renewal process.

More dialogue available in the recording.

BLOGs for Approved Deliverables

[Judith Fleenor](#) stated that in alignment with the Communications Committee's BLOG Post Guidelines and recommendation that a BLOG be submit with the GSWG has prepared a BLOG for their recently approved deliverables. The BLOG was sent to the Communications Committee for approval with the agenda. [Judith Fleenor](#) asked if there were any objections to the BLOG as presented. [Drummond Reed](#) said the BLOG needed more work, including a better hook. Therefore the BLOG was not approved for posting at this time and has been sent back to the Working Group for editing.

Position Papers Discussion

[Steven Milstein](#) presented the idea from the ISWG for position papers being published on our Website.

The ISWG feels that the solutions they are surfacing do not lend themselves well to White Papers.

They are still at the hypothetical stage. Thus...

The Purpose

The purpose of the Position Papers is to offer high-level opinions of perceived problem/solutions.

The hope by posting these, they not only attract like-minded thinkers to ToIP, but more importantly, opposing opinions that will generate their own Position Papers.

Reason to put them on the ToIP Website

The original thought was to post them to Confluence or, GitHub Wikis to follow the Terms & Concepts lead. GitHub Wikis does not support Google Analytics. (*Checking if Confluence can have Google Analytics turned on.*) Thus, the working group proposing this feels the WordPress site is best suited for Executive Summaries coupled with more detailed Position Papers. With the help of Google Analytics, they hope to better connect with the marketplace, improve engagement and drive future Deliverables of greater interest.

ISWG created:

[Guidance for Position Papers](#)

[ISWG submission for Position Papers](#)

[Template for Position Papers](#)

[John Jordan](#) mentioned that perhaps a better less confrontational name would be "discussion papers." [Steven Milstein](#) will take the idea of changing the name back to the working groups.

[Judith Fleenor](#) will discuss with Alex Metcalf the best way of publishing these type of papers on our Website.

More dialogue available in the recording.

Community Response to the [DID v1 REC vote](#)

[Drummond Reed](#) explained:

- Mozilla publicly posted a formal objection to W3C approving the W3C Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs) 1.0 specification
- There is a dialogue going on right now between the W3C DID WG chairs and the W3C Director about approval
- DIF has offered to collaborate with ToIP to issue a joint statement of support
- The W3C DID WG chairs have indicated that would be helpful if they could have it by next Wednesday
- The proposal is to create a small Communications Committee SWAT team to help draft the joint statement via a Google doc

After a robust discussion it was decided:

The committee is supportive of creating a joint statement in support of the W3C Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs) 1.0 specification. For that unified statement of support for the specification three members of our Communications team will work with whomever DIF specifies to align on the supportive statement with quotes from hopefully, John Jordon and Rouven Heck. They are [Drummond Reed](#) [John Jordan](#) and [Daniel Bachenheimer](#)

The committee felt the statement regarding the direct rebuttal to the Mozilla public statements are best coming from the Working Group. [Drummond Reed](#) mentioned that they have met this morning and are already drafting rebuttal text.

[Judith Fleenor](#) will communicate with DIF about who to connect with for drafting our joint statement with DIF.

[John Jordan](#) will be sending out a notice to the ToIP Steering Committee for transparency, but we are not expecting any objections. [Drummond Reed](#) sending out a notice to our All Members list will how to participate in the process of drafting the rebuttal statements of the working groups.

LF Marketing Support for JDF projects

[Judith Fleenor](#) shared two items soon available to JDF projects:

- LF is working on a pipeline for JDF projects to submit LF Newsworthy topic to be placed in the LF newsletter and social media
- Future, they are working on perhaps providing Marketing Packages that JDF project may purchase which would include media and public relations support.
(Not available yet. This is just a heads up. Something we might consider in the future.)

We ran out of time to Brainstorm what topic we might want to put into the LF pipeline.

Action Items:

- **Action Item:** [Judith Fleenor](#) to work with Alex Metcalf on ToIP Year In Review and Web publishing plan for "Discussion Papers."
- **Action Item:** [Ajay Madhok](#) to work with Alex Metcalf on the ToIP Year In Review story/infographic.
- **Action Item:** [Drummond Reed](#) [Daniel Bachenheimer](#) [John Jordan](#) to work on joint state from DIF and ToIP in support of DID spec. And, to communicate to both the SC and All Members list for transparency, objections, and participation.
- **Action item:** [Judith Fleenor](#) to follow-up with James about the Deliverables Template, and find a way for its approval of the communications committee before the next meeting or add it to next meeting as a topic.
- **Action Item:**
- **Action Item:**