OpenDSU

Presentation for Trust over IP Community

Marco Cuomo Sînică Alboaie

29 March 2021

Overview

- PharmaLedger Platform Architecture will be based on OpenDSU
- Result of a EU Research Project: PrivateSky (2015-2021)
- Towards creating an IDSA community
- OpenDSU core ideas
 - KeySSIs as generalisation of DIDs
 - Data Sharing Units: signed data and code anchored in blockchains/ledgers
 - approach to solve data sharing and interoperability
 - data validations, provenance, integrity by blockchain anchoring
 - Self Sovereign Applications and the OpenDSU vision of Universal Wallets
- Complementarity but also in disagreement with some DID & VC core beliefs
 - Real use cases require heavy customisations (data-models + DSL programmability is weak)
 - Zero Access Infrastructure and avoidance of the Cloud Agents
 - Standardised **verification** looks like a badly leaking abstraction
- OpenDSU specific approach related to validation
 - Custom code based validations

Innovative Medicines Initiatives (IMI) Europe's partnership for health



IMI2: 2014-2024

€3.3 bn budget More ambitious More open Greater scope 60 projects so far

PharmaLedger in a Nutshell

Who? PharmaLedger partners comprises of pharmaceutical companies, hospitals, universities, patient organizations, tech companies... building an ecosystem!

Why? To empower patients, increase trust among healthcare stakeholders, support medicine drug traceability and data privacy, and build a new culture of collaboration in healthcare.

What? A scalable blockchain **platform validated through reference use cases** in supply chain, clinical trials and health data that will serve trendsetters for the industry, enabling early adopters.

How? Pharmaledger will **design**, **validate** and provide **agile delivery** of innovative blockchain-enabled healthcare **applications** across the industry, from manufacturers to patients; while creating an **innovative governance** approach for **sustainability**.



- Duration: 3 year, Jan '20 Dec '22
- Consortium: 29 partners, largest of its kind
- Budget: EUR 22 million
- Focus Areas: Supply Chain, Clinical Trial, and Health

Data

PharmaLedger



ePI: a concrete use case for OpenDSU



This use case starts with the creation of the **ePI in digital** form by the manufacturer, the review and approval of the ePI with the Health Authorities, updates to the ePI and dissemination of the ePI to the Patient/ Health Care Practitioner/ Provider (HCP).



Blockchain and PharmaLedger Value Proposition

- Transparent and immutable review and approval transaction records. Smart contracts set the transaction rules so only approved eLeaflets are published Facilitates transactions between manufacturer systems and multiple health authorities with easy access for Patient with 'One App'
- Decentralised system for storing ePI provides secure platform, instead of central database, providing resilience against cyber attacks

Data Self-Sovereignty and Anonymity are paramount and not negotiable

"Greener

Industry



Trust

Interoperability

Security

Privacy

Economy

Layered Architecture

- Blockchain Agnostic
 - Can use any blockchain
 - Integrity & Traceability
- Hierarchical Blockchains
 - Increase security for ledgers with small number of replicas
 - $\circ \quad \text{No size fits all} \\$
- Off-chain data and computation integrity
 - Confidential Smart Contracts
 - Use Case Specific Optimisations



DSU Anchoring



OpenDSU: DSU-Types Model

Data Sharing Units (DSU):

- Encrypted and identified by KeySSIs
- Can store Keys used for encryption and digital signatures
- Data File Systems or Embedded Databases (key value, indexed, ledgers)
- "Contains" code (Validation & Business Logic) from a DSU-Type
- Instances of DSU Types

DSU- Types (named also DSU constitutions)

- A DSU storing SIGNED CODE
- Like a class for DSU instances
 - DSU APIs (abstract interfaces that hide internal data representations)



DSU Reconstruction from Bricks

- Blockchain is for Anchoring Minimise Data Leakages Decentralised Access Control using KeySSIs
 - Identifier
 - Cryptographic Key
- Client-Side Encryption
- The code of the DSU executed in a sandbox (Cloud or Edge Agents)
 DSUs are lightweight JavaScript containers



The OpenDSU Reference Architecture Overview



Bricks Storage - detailed structure

It's a web service that stores and retrieves bricks

A brick once created is **read-only** and HASH identified

A DSU is saved as bunch of Bricks (starting with a BrickMap that keeps references ¹ to all other Bricks from the DSU)

If you want to load a DSU the engine loads the first brick (BrickMap), then based on it it loads the rest of the DSU



BDNS : Decentralisation by segregation

BDNS stands for **Blockchain Domain Naming System**

Resolve names into blockchain network configurations

It's the DNS of OpenDSUs but probably could replace DNS. (DNS was launched in 1985...)

BDNS aims to be a hierarchical and decentralized naming system for blockchains, distributed ledgers, and even for individual DSUs.

BDNS extends/complements the DNS while preserving the existing user experience for the average user.

Example of a blockchain domains:

vault.novartis.pharmaledger epi.novartis.pharmaledger



A KeySSI is both an access token (a key) and an identifier.

KeySSI is the acronym of "Key Self-Sovereign Identifiers"

- Resolved to DSUs: Identify and locate DSUs (URI)
- Used also for DSU Encryption (decentralised access control)
- DSU Validation and ownership proofs
- Identity and control of the DSU's anchors (zero access blockchain anchors)
- could be used to implement DID methods (DID documents obeying the OpenDSU specific decentralised access control rules)

KeySSI - Syntax



Diagram: Syntax of KeySSI Identifier

- 1. "ssi" just tells us it's a SSI key
- 2. "type" defines complementary types of KeySSI
- 3. "Ledger.Domain" represent an ledger/blockchain domain
- 4. The "Type Specific Substrings" should contain enough random bits for good security.
- 5. The "Control Substring" used by the anchoring services to validate the requests for a new version of the anchored DSU. The algorithm used for verification is type-specific.
- 6. The "vn" is a string reflecting the version number of the type. Not be confused with DSU versions.
- 7. The "hint" part is optional and subtype-specific.

Examples:

ssi:seed:default:7PxHBdtYBVxzAQBsDAt9LneGQu5UKSndkg9ngf5d113E::v0 ssi:sza:default:e064764d4701ff563aa9be06c3b301ebdaa262a40c6ecc592254df75dbf097f6::v0

KeySSI - families example

SeedSSI - provide write access to the anchored DSU (typically are not shared)

- **sReadSSI** provides read access to the anchored DSU. The DSU is encrypted with a symmetric key derived from the sReadSSI
- szaSSI provides Zero Access. Having a szaSSI indicates that a SeedSSI exists and the attached DSU has a specified number of versions

HashLinkSSI - used to store references to DSU in brick storage



[type: "" control: \${public key}]



Anchoring using KeySSIs



DSU structure: Similar to a filesystem (Key/Value database)



Similar with a docker container but lighter. You can mount DSUs in other DSUs Can be used to instantiate embedded databases or micro-ledgers(transactions order from anchors)

SSApp - UI in wallets



The vision of the OpenDSU Universal Wallets



Dynamic, interoperable and portable Digital Wallet

Stored in DSUs

Customizable to the needs of the users (individuals and companies) : Contain DSU embedding SSApps

Supports any device such as smartphones, wearables, browsers

Will be Digital Wallets the next big applications platform?

OpenDSU "Standards"



Defining an **open standard** for handling off-chain storage to achieve **an unified approach** for interoperability: Digital Wallets, Encrypted Data Vaults, Decentralised Key Management Systems, Cloud and Edge Agents

OpenDSU: Complementarity but also disagreements with some DID & VC core beliefs

Complementarity and vision alignments

- We are all aiming for "Digital sovereignty" in identities, data and even applications
- Many great ideas in the DID ecosystems OpenDSU can use most W3C DID technologies (but has different opinions on some core beliefs) •

Different core beliefs (comparing with Hyperledger Aries but even with the core standards)

- Privacy Enhancing Technologies for enterprise world should be sound from all points of view... OpenDSU preference for using "general-purpose languages" and avoidance of too many "standards" on data models and myriads of DSLs (Domain Specific Languages) and protocols. Divergent core assumption regarding the importance of "validation" or the role of web services & cloud agents •
- •

Why we diverge?

- Communication problems with the business stakeholders: they kind of understand digital signatures and validated data by certificates or credentials data but have difficulties explaining "presentations", "selective disclosure", ZKP or non-corelability in enterprise software.
- Interoperability is about semantics and not about data models. Custom code is unavoidable. Masquerading • customisations behind complex configurations and DSLs is just not such a good idea...
- Customisations are always required for UX, performance, etc. Semantics is always expressed in code! Trust is • coming from signed code. Glueing together many DSLs exacerbate the leaking abstraction problem. We should not ignore lessons from "semi-failed" technologies like SOAP & WSDL, XML & Semantic Web
- Golden Hammers: exaggerations in the importance of issuer, hoder, verifier triangle or exaggerated role of messaging protocols and of short living choreographies. •

Identifiers that do not identify...



Non-correlating credentials creates "**oxymoronic identities**" that are usable only when the transfer of value happens from prover to verifiers and no value is transferred in the opposite sense. Naturally, the verifiers (or actually the network) have a strong need to check that the prover has enough of that value that it transferred to verifier.

This seems to severely limiting the use cases (ZKP payments and features for whistleblowers or "trustworthy" fake news creators...). Otherise, by contradicting the whole thing: it is somehow implied that at least one verifier should be able using external methods to somehow trust enough (or properly identify - correlate) the prover and deliver the services.

Proper identities (with correlating credentials) create trust for all parties because establish **clear responsibilities** for each participant in a transaction.

Validation



No silver bullets... lots of use case specific CODE*

*Schema, Configurations, Credential Definitions, Templates, etc.. are all some sort of DSLs (Domain Specific Languages) -> CODE

Trust? Signed code + UI that communicates trust



Maximum Trust

https://yourdomain.com

A Your Company Name

Governance is important but...

the weakest link is the CODE of the applications

Towards Zero Access Cloud Infrastructure

Decentralised Identities Stack (Aries)

Data Sharing & Identities Stack (OpenDSU)



Reusable code as Validation Strategies in OpenDSU

- Issue verifiable credentials, X.509 certificates, just sign documents
- Generate specific presentations (when we need presentations)
- Validate proofs on data (verify signatures, presentations, check other constraints and trust sources)

Abstracts all the details

- Data Models & Representations
- Cryptographic primitives

Conclusions

- General-purpose languages and not DSLs...
 - Even for interoperability: migratory code & trust from signed code
- Wallets should be dynamic and open (like Web Browsers: capable to load any site)
- Digital Sovereignty
 - Starts with decentralised access control (KeySSIs) and Data Sharing
 - Cloud Agents are mostly a plague and a threat for the whole movement...
- "Validation Strategies" are central
 - Not a few vague statements in the W3C VC standard
 - Trust and security are such multifaceted problems.
 - Everything is code... Let's take trust from signing ALL this code!
- Standards... maybe not so fast
 - SSIs solutions space is large and open for innovations and darwinian competitions
 - "oxymoronic identities" does not help adoption

Thank you! Questions?

"The nice thing about standards is that you have so many to choose from; furthermore, if you do not like any of them, you can just wait for next year's model."

Andrew Tanenbaum

www.opendsu.com www.github.com/privatesky